

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PRE-EMPOWERED COMMITTEE FOR PMGSY HELD ON 05<sup>th</sup> Dec, 2012**

**STATE: Chhattisgarh**

A Meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee (EC) was held on **05<sup>th</sup> Dec, 2012** at 11.00 AM in the Chamber of Joint Secretary (RC), Department of Rural Development to discuss the proposals of the State of Chhattisgarh for new connectivity and up-gradation of roads under Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana. List of participants are as below:

<b>Dr P K Anand</b>	<b>Joint Secretary (RC)</b>
Sh N C Solanki	Director (P-I), NRRDA
Sh P K Katare	Director (P-III), NRRDA
Dr I K Pateriya	Dir(Tech) NRRDA
Sh Bhupal Nanda	Dir (F&A)
Sh.Y S Dwivedi	Director (RC)
<b>State Govt Representatives</b>	
Sh Sudhir Agrawal	CEO, CGRRDA
Sh S N Srivastava	CE CGRRDA
Sh R K Dewangan	IT Nodal Officer, CGRRDA
Sh S N Shukla	EE CGRRDA

2. The State intimated that it has sent the following proposals to NRRDA for new-connectivity and upgradation of roads :-

<b>Particulars</b>		<b>PMGSY Batch-II Year 2012-13</b>
Value of projects proposed (Rs. in crore)		685.57
Total No. of road works		518
Total length of road works (km.)		1797.78
Total No. of Habitations benefited		785
New Connectivity	Cost (Rs. in crore)	601.80
	No. of road works	436
	Length (km)	1572.23
	Number of Habitations covered	683
	Average cost Lakh per km	<b>38.27</b>
Upgradation	Cost (Rs. in crore)	83.77
	No. of road works	82
	Length (km)	225.55
	Number of Habitations covered	102
	Average cost Lakh per km	<b>37.14</b>

3. The Pre-Empowered Committee reviewed the progress since the previous Empowered Committee Meeting and considered the institutional capacity of the State to efficiently execute the PMGSY works with the requisite attention to quality. The Empowered Committee also considered attainment of PMGSY, public disclosure norms in terms of the physical and financial and accounting data entered by the State in the programme software, OMMAs and the diligence in maintenance of the high quality assets created under the programme for the long term reduction of poverty.

4. Completion plan and expenditure plan:

Director (Tech) displayed the progress of all previous phases sanctioned on OMMAS. There are balance 7,600 kms of road-work (15,46 Nos.) yet to be completed. JS(RC) asked the State to send the completion plan for sanctions till march, 2012 and expenditure plan for all the sanctioned incomplete works till date. Also the State was asked to give the details of not-feasible works, forest clearance issues, non-response tenders, rescinded tenders etc. State agreed to send the same and also sample dropping cases for consideration by Ministry.

Action: State/Dir(YSD)

5. Estimated Costs in DPRs:

State intimated that the estimates sent are based on SOR 2012-13 which has been submitted to NRRDA for approval. State informed that rates in SOR 2012-13 are 25% higher than the SOR 2011-12 basically due to increase in cost of aggregates, bitumen and labour. JS(RC) asked the State to send the %age rate above SOR 2011-12 being sanctioned and tender accepted in other Schemes of the State. State was also asked to get the SOR analysed and vetted by NRRDA

Action: State/Dir(P-I)

6. Proposal of Upgradation:

Before discussing the proposals of upgradation sent by the State, JS(RC) asked the status of entry of PCI of all roads on OMMAS. State intimated that road condition survey has not been carried out for all the roads. JS(RC) asked the State to carryout road condition survey of all the roads and send the proposal for upgradation thereafter.

Action: State

7. Inclusion of proposal for Long Span Bridges:

State intimated that it has not included the Long span bridges in current proposal as it intends to bring it separately. As informed by State, there are about 7 Nos. LSBs on roads being proposed now. JS(RC) asked the State to include the LSBs of roads being considered in the proposal. Out of these LSBs, the State may consider providing pre-fab bridges specially in IAP districts. State agreed and intimated that the LSBs will be executed by PWD.

Action: State

8. Projects with new technology and marginal/waste material:

JS(RC) and Dir(Tech) asked the State to include some proposal with new technology and marginal / waste material, to which State agreed.

Action: State

9. Proposal for CC roads:

State intimated that it has considered CC roads in IAP district (Narayanpur). Dir(P-III) stressed the need for strict quality control in CC work. JS(RC) asked the State to send its plan to ensure quality in CC works.

Action: State

10. DPR issues:

NRRDA brought out the general observation on proposals of Chhattisgarh which are as under:

- (i) From the OMMAS data entry it has been observed that some of the roads have been proposed for single habitation with population below 500 in normal areas and below 250 in IAP / Schedule V areas. As these habitations will not be eligible under PMGSY it should be verified road wise and in case the habitations are found to be ineligible the proposal should be deleted.
- (ii) The requirement of protection works/retaining walls should be verified with L-Section and X-Sections and preferably stone pitching should be used.
- (iii) The number of CD works proposed in some cases could not be justified as the L-Sections and X-Sections are not available. The provision of CD works should be verified in all cases and the possibility of reduction in number of CD works should be explored considering integration of drains.
- (iv) The provision of approach slab of 2.50m on either side even in case of 1.50m slab culverts should be deleted from all DPRs as it is not required.
- (v) In some of the road works the entire length has been proposed as CC pavement. The state share as per PMGSY guidelines should be worked out and reported. A statement indicating the proposed length of CC pavement with respect to the total length of road in case of all the roads should be provided.
- (vi) The quantities of earth work proposed in some of the cases appear to be too high as the photographs are indicating that a good embankment with almost 5-6 m top width is already available. The quantities should be modified downwards with L-Sectional and X- Sectional drawings.
- (vii) The provision such as 9 nos of PMGSY information board and Citizen Information board on one road should be deleted and it should rather be as per guidelines.
- (viii) The provision of 7.10 m width GSB below CC Pavement should be reduced to 3.30m.
- (ix) The provision of Crumb Rubber Modified Bitumen (CRMB) may be made only in some cases on pilot basis.
- (x) The score sheets signed by STAs have not been attached in DPR.
- (xi) The length of CC Drain proposed in some cases appears to be on higher side. This should be reviewed.

Action: State

11. Reconciliation of entry made for current proposal on OMMAS:

Dir(Tech) informed that there are some variation in entry made for current proposal on OMMAS. State agreed to make corrections.

Action: State

Reconciliation of OMMAS and MPR data:

12. Director (P-I) brought out that the data shown on OMMAS are not tallying with the manual MPR sent by the State. The State agreed that it will reconcile both the figures.

Action: State/Dir(P-I)/Dir(P-Tech)

13. JS(RC) asked Director (Tech) and Director (P-I) to show the comparison of OMMAS data and the MPR (manual) data through a slide before EC meeting.

Action: Director(P-I)/Director (Tech)

**Maintenance commitment by the State:**

14. Dir(P-I) informed that the State has deposited funds in CGRRDA Maintenance A/c as per its commitment but the utilisation is minimal. The details for last four years is as under:

<b>FINANCIAL YEAR</b>	<b>MAINTENANCE FUNDS REQUIRED TO BE RELEASED</b>	<b>ACTUAL RELEASE TO SRRDA</b>	<b>EXPENDITURE BY SRRDA</b>
2008-99	9.15	24.97	2.32
2009-10	13.22	48.17	6.46
2010-11	20.85	20.85	16.95
2011-12	24.90	24.90	14.81

15. The State agreed that maintenance was not carried out as desired and it will ensure that efforts will be made to utilize the funds. It will send the road-wise maintenance plan for the year 2012-13 and 2013-14 as per letter circulated by the Ministry vide letter No. P-17024/ 11 /2011-RC dated 06th March, 2012 (also available on [www.pmgysy.nic.in](http://www.pmgysy.nic.in)) and upload on OMMAS against each road.

Action:State

16. Dir (P-III) informed that the NQMs have reported that as high as 52% of roads are maintained in poor condition.

17. State informed that the security money of the defaulting contractors is being forfeited and being utilised for carrying out the maintenance through other contractors. JS(RC) asked the State to send details of such cases.

Action:State

18. JS(RC) asked NRRDA the status of activating the Maintenance module on OMMAS to capture the road-wise amount due and maintenance expenditure and expedite its implementation.

Action: Dir(P-I)/Dir(F&A)

**Quality Assurance:**

19. Dir (P-III) brought out that the inspections by the SQMs are not as extensive as to NQM's inspection. The State agreed to send a plan to improve SQM inspection system to ensure the quality in road-work.

Action: State

20. The State agreed to upload all the ATRs sent to NRRDA on OMMAS, and send all pending ATRs for ongoing works for which the letters have been issued by NRRDA till 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2012.

Action: State

Institutional Capacity:

21. Expenditure by the State during previous years is as under: (Rs. in crore)

<b>2008-09</b>	863
<b>2009-10</b>	805
<b>2010-11</b>	304
<b>2011-12</b>	244
<b>2012-13</b>	107

22. State informed that it is taking various measures to enhance its capacity and agreed to send self-contained Note on the issue.

Action: State

23. State informed that it has established 33 PIUs with strength of 575 engineers and in process of increasing it further with the support of Consultants/Consultancy.

24. Dir(P-III) cautioned the State to be more vigilant with the Consultancy personnel.

Action: State

Accounting issues

25. Dir(F&A) brought out accounting issues of Audited Statement for year 2011-12, bank reconciliation, non-remittance of statutory deductions, advance against contractors, finalization of data entry on OMMAS for programme funds and Administrative funds. State agreed to address these issues.

Action: State

Publishing the Road List

26. The State agreed to publish the road list for all the roads sanctioned since inception of PMGSY in DVD format along with their present status.

Action: State

27. Digitization of Core Network

The State agreed that it will send its planning for digitization of road-network.

Action: State

The meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.

\*\*\*\*\*