## File No. P-17024/1(1)/2017-RC(RCLWE) (359096)

### Government of India

## Ministry of Rural Development Department of Rural Development

Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi Dated the 11th September, 2019

#### Meeting Notice

Minutes of the Meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee to consider project proposals for Road Connectivity Project on Left Extremism Area (RCPLWEA) Batch-I, (2019-20) submitted by the State Government of Andhra Pradesh-reg.

The undersigned is directed to enclose herewith a copy of minutes of the meeting of Pre-Empowered Committee held on 3rd September, 2019 under the Chairpersonship of the Additional Secretary (RD) & DG, NRIDA to discuss the proposals submitted by the State of Andhra Pradesh under RCPLWEA, Batch-I (2019-20).

It is requested that a compliance report on all the observations of the Committee may be sent to the Ministry/NRIDA. (Lalit Kumar)

Under Secretary (RC)

## Distribution:

X. Shri Gopala Krishna Dwivedi, Principal Secretary, (Panchayat Raj & Rural Development - 172679/19 Department), Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, 5th Block, Ground Floor, Room No. 103, Andhra Pradesh Secretariat, Velagapudi, Guntur district-522503, Andhra Pradesh. 2. Smt. Sumita Dawra, Principal Secretary, Road and Building Department, 5th Block, 1st Floor, Room No. 213, A.P Secretariat Office, Velagapudi. 3. Shri B Subba Reddy, Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj & Rural Development Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, ENC office, ZP Compond, Opp. PWD Ground, MG

Road, Vijayawada-520002. -(3)4. The Chief Engineer (R&B) NABARD & LWE, Room No. 401, HODs Buildings, Opp., Indira Gandhi Muncipal Staduim, Labbipet, M.G Road, Vijayawada-520010, Andhra

5- Shri Yogesh Mohan Dixit, Director (LWEO-I &IV), North Block, MHA, New Delhi-- 172683 /19 110001.

#### Copy for information to:-

PS to Secretary (RD)/PPS to AS & FA/PPS to AS(RD)/PPS to JS(RC)/All Director, NRIDA, New Delhi. 477531/19 to (8)



# Minutes of the Meeting of Pre-Empowered Committee held on 03.09.2019 for the proposals of the State of Andhra Pradesh RCPLWE under PMGSY, Batch I (2019-20)

A Pre-Empowered Committee meeting to consider the proposals of Andhra Pradesh RCPLWE Batch –I of 2019-20was held under chairpersonship of AS& DG(NRIDA) in UNNATI on 3<sup>rd</sup> September, 2019. The following officials were present in the meeting are as below: -

Smt. AlkaUpadhyaya	In-chair					
Dr. Surabhi Rai	Director(RC)					
Dr. I. K. Pateriya	Consultant-Tech, NRIDA					
Shri Uttam Kumar	Dir (P-III)					
Shri Deepak Ashish Kaul	Dir (F & A)					
Shri Mohansundram	JD (Tech)					
Shri Satendra Prasad	JD(P-I)					
Shri Yogesh Mohan Dixit	Director, MHA					
State Govt. representatives						
Shri P. Srimannerayaka	Engineer-in-Chief					
Shri B. Subha Reddy	Engineer-in-Chief					
Shri A.S.S. Pravasa Rao	EE(R&B)					
Shri Nishant Hussain	EE, PMGSY, Andhra Pradesh					
Sh. Rajvinder Kumar	Liaison Officer					
Shri K. Mallikarjuna	Financial Controller					
	·					

2. The State confirmed that the present batch is the last batch of works to be sanctioned under RCPLWE.

a. The details of current proposals by PR Department is as follows:-

As per Pre EC submission by State on 01.09.2019				As per OMMAS dated 02.09.2019				
Item	Nos	Length (in km)	Cost (Rs in Crores)	Avg. Cost/km (Lakhs)	Nos	Length (in km)	Cost (Rs in Crores)	Avg. Cost/km (Lakhs)
Up- Gradation	55	497.94	296.76	59.60	55	497.94	296.76	59.60
Bridges	1	120.25	6.95	5.78/m	1	120.25	6.95	5.78/m
Total	55 Roads 1 bridges	497.94 km Roads 120.25 m Bridge	303.71		55 Roads 1 bridges	497.94 km Roads 120.25 m Bridge	303.71*	

\*MoRDShare :Rs. 182.22 Crores

State Share :Rs. 121.49 Crores

All KK roade of 3.75 m

Perusal of the district-wise average cost trends showed that why East Godawari district had average cost of 29.8 lakhs Sq. Km. The average cost was above 60 lakhs per Km in the remaining three districts. State clarified that in East Godawari district the proposals were mainly upgradation of roads earlier built under MGNREGA. State was asked to verify the cost of roads proposed in Vishakhapatnam district. (Action point PR Dept of State Government)

b. The details of the current proposal proposed by R&B Department is as given below:-

As per EC submission by State on 30.08.2019				As per OMMAS dated 02.09.2019				
Item	Nos	Length (in km)	Cost (Rs in Crores)	Avg. Cost/km (Lakhs)	Nos	Length (in km)	Cost (Rs in Crores)	Avg. Cost/km (Lakhs)
Up-gradation	9	113.26	90.24	79.67	9	113.26	90.24	79.67
Total	9	113.26	90.24	79.67	9	113.26	90.24	79.67

\*MoRDShare :Rs. 50.74 Crores 8 roads - 5.5 m, 1 road - 3.75 m State Share: Rs. 39.50 Crores

• The cost in case of East Godawari district is higher due to the fact that one of the road proposed is an earthen road. State needs to verify the DPRThe rest of the roads are upgradation proposals. It was brought out that 5 MSA traffic has been predicted in all the roads. State submitted that a 3<sup>rd</sup> party verification will be done within a week. (Action point R&B Dept of State Government)

#### (B). **DPR Observations:**-

- i. PR Department should reconcile the sanction details on OMMAS as per clearance letters for the year 200-01, 2001-02, 2003-04 and 2005-06 for normal PMGSY works within 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2019 (Action Point State Government and NRIDA to monitor the same.)
- ii. State needs to certify that the proposed alignments are as per the road alignment approved by MHA since the road name uploaded is different from the road name recommended by MHA. (Action Point State Government)
- iii. Agency area allowance for labourers at the rate of 25 % included in the road analysis needs to be estimated or should come from the State share. State submitted that it was an error on the part of the PIUs and would be rectified. Committee directed the State to pass clear instructions to PIUs in order to avoid this mistake in the future. (Action Point State Government)
- iv. The DPRs of Vishakhapatnam district had higher leads for and CD works proposed are 8-9 meters in length. State was directed to submit the requisite calculation. (Action Point State Government)
- v. PR Department needs to update the correct state share for LSB work (Beyond 100 m should come from State). (Action Point State Government)

- vi. Joint Inspection report of STA/CE/SE for bridge site as per format prescribed by NRIDA has not been provided. (Action Point State Government)
- vii. The 6<sup>th</sup> year renewal cost proposed by the R&B Department is on the lower side and it was directed that this cost be increased to 18-25%.(Action Point State Government)
- viii. PR Department was directed to propose adequate length with new technologies as per the technology initiative guidelines and R&B which has not proposed any roads using new technologies was asked to propose as per the technology initiative guidelines. (Action Point State Government)

## (C). Contract Issues:-

- i. Out of 143 works (862 Kms) sanctioned State has completed only 4 works (100 Kms). This was taken serious note by the Committee given the fact that RCPLWE works have a completion deadline of March, 2020. 57 works amounting to 291.7 Kms are not awarded. State submitted that 32 works would be completed by the end of the month and the rest would depend on the status of forest clearance which would take about 2 months more. (Action Point State Government)
- ii. The Committee told the State that one of the mandate of transact walk was to ensure the status of land including requirement for forest clearance which apparently had not been done by the State. The State officials were told very clearly that in the present proposal no sanctions would be given without forest clearance. Committee directed that a letter should be sent from SRD to CS, Andhra Pradesh on the issue of unawarded works and pending forest clearances. (Action Point State Government and Program Division)

## (D). Status of progress and targets:-

i. It was seen that against the target of 800 Kms, the State had achieved only 48 Kms by August, 2019. State was asked to increase the pace of implementation of works. State was also asked to assess the feasibility of balance remaining habitations and propose those habitations which would not be feasible for dropping. (Action Point – State Government)

#### (E) Maintenance Issues:-

- i. It was seen that there are data gap in OMMAS and State was asked to upload the missing data. (Action Point State Government)
- ii. The percentage expenditure with respect to maintenance liability has drastically reduced in the last 2 years and State was asked to look into the matter. (Action Point State Government)
- iii. With respect to onboarding on E-marg 222 packages are pending verification and 39 number of works do not have agreements uploaded on OMMAS. (Action Point State Government)

## (F) Quality Issues :-

i. Out of 27 award packages labs have not been established in 16 packages (59 %). State was asked to immediately comply to these observations. Only 4 SQMs are empaneled in the State of Andhra Pradesh. It was directed to increase the number of SQMs in R&B Department. Directions were given to take on board empaneled SQMs of P&R Department. State was asked to submit the pending ATR reports for NQM inspections. (Action Point – State Government)

## (G) <u>F&A Issues:</u>-

i. The percentage of expenditure is very low. State was asked to increase the pace of works and consequently the expenditure.

The meeting ended with Thanks to the Chair.