

File No. P-17024/9/2018-RC (EFMS: 363986)

Government of India

Ministry of Rural Development

Department of Rural Development

Rural Connectivity (RC) Division

\*\*\*

Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi-110001

Dated: 5<sup>th</sup> September, 2019

**Meeting Notice**

**Sub: Minutes of the Meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee to consider the project proposals Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana-II (PMGSY-II) (Batch-I, 2019-20) submitted by the State Government of Himachal Pradesh -reg.**

A copy of the Minutes of the Pre Empowered Committee Meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2019 to discuss the project Proposals Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana-II (PMGSY-II) (Batch-I, 2019-20) is forwarded herewith for information and necessary action.

*M M G, m*

(Lalit Kumar)

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India

Tel. No. -23382406

**Distribution:**

- ~~(i)~~ The Principal Secretary, Public Works Department, Government of Himachal Pradesh, Nirman Bhawan, Shimla-171002, Himachal Pradesh. — 172187/19
- ~~(ii)~~ The Chief Engineer, Himachal Pradesh, PWD Bhawan, Nigam Vihar, Shimla-171002, Himachal Pradesh. — 172187/19-1
- ~~(iii)~~ All Directors in National Rural Infrastructure Development Agency (NRIDA), 15 NBCC Tower, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Bhikaji Cama Palace, New Delhi-110066. — 475923/19 to (4)

Copy to:-

~~PPS to AS (RD)/PPS to AS& FA/PPS to JS (RC)~~ — (5) to (7)

*Issued  
5/9/19*

**Minutes of the Meeting of Pre-Empowered Committee held on 29.08.2019 for the proposals of the State of Himachal Pradesh under PMGSY-II, Batch I (2019-20)**

A Meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee for PMGSY was held on 29.08.2019 in chamber of Additional Secretary(RD) to discuss the proposals under PMGSY -II, Batch-I (2019-20) The following officials were present in the meeting: -

|                                    |   |
|------------------------------------|---|
| <b>Smt. Alka Upadhyaya</b>         | <b>Additional Secretary(RD) &amp; DG(NRIDA)</b> |
| Shri Rohit Kumar                   | Joint Secretary (RC)                            |
| Shri K.M Singh                     | Deputy Secretary(RC)                            |
| Sh. Uttam Kumar                    | Director (P-III), NRIDA                         |
| Sh. Pradeep Agarwal                | Director (P-I), NRIDA                           |
| Sh. B. Pradhan                     | Consultant (Tech), NRIDA                        |
| Sh. D.A. Kaul                      | Director (F&A), NRIDA                           |
| Sh. Sunil Kumar                    | Joint Director, NRIDA                           |
| <b>State Govt. representatives</b> |   |
| Sh. P.C. Bandan                    | Chief Engineer, HPPWD                           |
| Sh. Sunil Bhardwaj                 | F.C., HPPWD                                     |
| MsArchana Thakur                   | S.E., HPPWD                                     |
| Sh. L.K. Pandey                    | ITNO HPPWD                                      |

**2. Proposal by the State:**

| Item  | As per Proposal |        |  | As per OMMAS as on 28-08-2019<br>(PMGSY - II) |        |   |
|---|-----------------|--------|--|---|--------|---|
|   | Upgradation     | LSBs   | Total                                      | Upgradation                                   | LSBs   | Total                                     |
| <b>Value in Rs Crores</b>                   | 1167.72         | 46.34  | 1214.06                                    | 1330.36                                       | 46.34  | 1376.70                                   |
| <b>No. of Road Works</b>                    | 111             | 6      | 111 Roads<br>6 LSBs                        | 140   | 6      | 140 Roads<br>6 LSBs                       |
| <b>Length in Km</b>                         | 1368.735        | 595.92 | 1368.735 km<br>roads &<br>595.92 m<br>LSBs | 1552.96                                       | 595.93 | 1552.96 km<br>roads &<br>595.93 m<br>LSBs |
| <b>Average cost per km/m (Rs. In Lakhs)</b> | 85.31           | 7.78   |  | 85.66   | 7.78   |   |

**\*\*MoRD Share : Rs 1213.09 Crores State Share : Rs. 163.61 Crores incl higher spec**

**#State has uploaded 1552.96 Km against 1250 Km**

**It was observed by the Committee that the State has included both GST and VAT while calculating the cost of DPRs and advised the State to take into account GST only. Joint Director (Tech) and Consultant (Tech) of NRIDA were also asked to visit**

**the State for correction of DPRs. It was also observed by the Committee that the State has proposed 1552.96 km for upgradation against the total target of 1250 km. The State was advised to restrict the target to 1250 km. The Committee also advised the State to indicate the average cost of 3 & 3.5 mtr carriageway width separately.**

### **3. DPR and General Issues:**

#### **DPR issues:**

- i. Only 38 Performa C and 71 colored photographs of road out of 140 uploaded on OMMAS.
- ii. Format of Performa C is not as per PMGSY-II.
- iii. Latitude and Longitude of starting and end points of road indicated in the DPR are wrong.
- iv. Atterberg limits of sub-grade soil are not mentioned in the soil test report.
- v. Test results for GSB materials are not attached with the DPR.
- vi. Locations of signage proposed for road safety and detailing are not given in DPR.
- vii. SoR 2016-17 has been used for DPR preparation which includes VAT. However, the State has not revised the SoR based on GST and simply added 12% for GST. The existing VAT and other taxes included in the previous SoR needs to be deleted. DPR cost needs to be revised. Otherwise, new SOR should be prepared and vetted at NRIDA.
- viii. As per IRC:SP:72-2015 clause 3.2.2 (iv), for projected traffic 1 MSA and above, it should be mandatory to get the traffic survey carried out through a third party but the state has not done so.
- ix. Length of Retaining wall and Breast Wall is on higher side, it needs to be proposed only in the required location.
- x. State has proposed 30 mm BC over BM layer while as per IRC SP-72-2015 figure no. 4, PC and Seal coat or MSS needs to be proposed.
- xi. Location of signage proposed for road safety and detailing is not given in DPR.
- xii. 41.77 % cost proposed only for protection work which is on higher side, so it is required to propose the protection work only on required site (Package no- HP-03-15).
- xiii. Metal crash barrier proposed in DPR, in order to achieve economy in construction the state may explore the construction of guard wall/ parapet walls.
- xiv. For up-gradation proposal, existing crust details needs to be indicated in DPR for at-least one location per km.
- xv. In 2 DPR proposed, road width is 7m for which Pro-rata cost beyond 5.50 m carriageway width needs to come from the State share. The pro-rata cost should be uploaded under higher specification on OMMAS. The package number of these road are- HP-12-175; HP-02-173.
- xvi. Transect walk photographs are not matching with photographs provided at 100m interval in the DPR (Package no. HP-12-173).
- xvii. Interlocking paver block are used for half of the road length without giving any proper justification. (Package no. HP-11-111).
- xviii. Retaining wall locations are not justified by photographs. This should be supported with cross sections details (Package no. HP-02-07).
- xix. In Maximum DPR drain length proposed is on higher side. U shaped drain needs to be provided only in habitation area and V shaped drain needs to be proposed in hilly areas. In open areas there is no need to provide pucca drains. For example in DPR

of Package number HP-01-162, U shaped drain is proposed in agricultural field at chainage 915-1700 m.

**On the issue of cost of protection work, the Committee advised Joint Director (Tech), NRIDA to visit the State and find out whether the cost of protection work is justified and also see on which roads these protection works are required.**

- B. R&D technology:** The Committee observed that the technology-wise data uploaded by the State on OMMAS is not correct and advised the State to re-examine the same. RBI-81 technology road list to be submitted by the State. The Committee also observed that as against the required of 10% under Mainstreaming technology, State has proposed only 3.5% and against 5% requirement of IRC accreditation, State has proposed only 1.97%. The State was advised to increase the length of new technology length as per the technology initiative guidelines.
- C. Habitation Status:** The Committee observed that habitation data has not been fully reconciled by the state and advised the State to update the status of the same on OMMAS.
- D. Pending works:** It was brought before the Committee that 65% works of 2018-19 are still unawarded and advised the State to expedite the same.
- E. E-MARG:** The Committee observed that 44 packages have not yet been verified and advised the State to expedite the same. The Committee also advised the State to conduct the required E-Marg workshops and meet out the data gaps.
- F. Maintenance and quality issues:**
- i. The Committee observed that there are some data gaps on OMMAS in r/o maintenance fund and advised the State to update the same. In addition to this, maintenance renewal data also needs to be updated on OMMAS. The Committee asked Director (P-I), NRIDA to visit the State to resolve the issue of maintenance.
  - ii. The Committee observed that under the 1<sup>st</sup> tier, 135 packages have been inspected by SQM, where the labs have not been established. In the 2<sup>nd</sup> tier category, payment of more than 1 crore has been made without SQM inspection for 2 completed works and 22 ongoing works. In the 3<sup>rd</sup> tier category, 9 ATRs of completed works and 76 ATRs of ongoing works are still pending with the State and advised the State to expedite the same at the earliest. The Committee also advised JD (Tech) and Consultant (Tech) to rectify all the discrepancies in the ATRs during their visit to the State.
- G. Finance and Account issues:** On the issue of TDS, the Committee advised the State that no TDS deduction is to be returned and items issued need to be recovered. The Committee also observed that the reconciliation of maintenance fund for the year 2017-18 is still pending and advised the State to complete the same quickly.
- H. Financial closure of physically completed works:** The Committee observed that there are 19 such completed works which are pending for more than 6 months. The Committee advised the State to expedite the same at the earliest.

**Decision taken:**

**Subject to the observations of Pre-Empowered Committee and concurrent action/compliance by the State, as stipulated in the foregoing paras, the Committee recommended the above proposal.**

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair.

\*\*\*\*\*