

File No. P-17024/11(1)/2017-RC (EFMS: 358261)

Government of India

Ministry of Rural Development
Department of Rural Development

Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi

Dated the 4th September, 2019

Sub: Minutes of the Meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee to consider the project proposals for Road Connectivity Project on Left Wing Extremism Areas (RCPLWEA) (Batch-I, 2019-20) submitted by the State Government of Jharkhand -reg.

A copy of the Minutes of the Pre Empowered Committee Meeting held on 3rd September, 2019 to discuss the project Proposals for Road Connectivity Project on Left Wing Extremism Area (RCPLWEA) (Batch-I, 2019-20) is forwarded herewith for information and necessary action.



(Lalit Kumar)

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India

Tel. No. -23382406

Distribution:

- (i) The Secretary, Rural Works Department, 2nd Floor, F.F.P. Building, Dhurwa, Ranchi-834004, Jharkhand. - 172192/19
- (ii) Shri Kamal Kishore Soan, Secretary, Road Construction Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Project Building, 3rd Floor, Dhurwa, Ranchi-834004, Jharkhand. - (1)
- (iii) The Chief Engineer, Jharkhand State Rural Development Agency (JSRRDA), Rural Development Department, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi. - (2)
- (iv) Shri Madan Kumar, Chief Engineer, Office of the Chief Engineer (Communication), Road Construction Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, 1st Floor, Engineer's Hostel No-02, Near Dhurwa, Golchakkar, Post-Dhurwa, Ranchi-834004, Jharkhand. - (3)
- (v) Director (LWEO-II), Ministry of Home Affairs, North Block, New Delhi. - 172193/19

Copy to:-

PPS to AS (RD)/PPS to AS& FA/PPS to JS (RC)/All Directors, NRIDA, New Delhi.

- 475964/19 to (7)

Issued
5/9/19

Minutes of the Meeting of Pre-Empowered Committee held on 03.08.2019 for the proposals of the State of Jharkhand RCPLWE under PMGSY, Batch I (2019-20)

A Pre-Empowered Committee meeting was held under the chairpersonship of DG, NRIDA in UNNATI on 3rd September, 2019 to discuss the proposals submitted by the State of Jharkhand under RCPLWE Batch-I (2019-20). The following officials were present in the meeting: -

Smt. AlkaUpadhyaya	In-chair
Dr. Surabhi Rai	Director(RC)
Dr. I. K. Pateriya	Dire (P-II)
Shri Uttam Kumar	Dir (P-III)
Shri Deepak Ashish Kaul	Dir (F& A)
Shri Mohansundram	JD (Tech)
Shri Satendra Prasad	JD(P-I)
Shri B.C. Pradhan	Consultant-Tech, NRIDA
Shri Yogesh Mohan Dixit	Director, -LWE-II, MHA
State Govt. representatives	
Shri Murari Bhagat	Chief Engineer, JSRRDA
Shri Praveen Kumar Jha	Nodal Officer, JSRRDA
Shri Ashok Kumar	SQC, JSRRDA
Shri Manish Keshri	Nodal Officer, JSRRDA
Sh. Dinesh Pradhan	Financial Controller, JSRRDA

2. The project proposals submitted by the State is as follows:-

Item	As per compliance				As per OMMAS dated 31.08.2019			
	No of works	Length (in km)	Cost (Rs in Crores)	Avg. Cost/km (Lakhs)	Nos	Length (in km)	Cost (Rs in Crores)	Avg. Cost/km (Lakhs)
Up-Gradation	84	598.55	510.28	85.25	84	598.55	510.28	85.25
Bridges	17	915.11	31.92	3.49/m	17	915.11	31.92	3.49/m
Total	84 roads 17 LSBs	598.55 Km 915.11 m	542.20		84 roads 17 LSBs	598.55 Km 915.11 m	542.20	

*MoRD Share : Rs. 325.08 Crore

State Share : Rs. 217.12 Crore

3. **Issues Discussed:-**

A. **DPR and other Issues:-**

- i. All proposals have been submitted by the State. Only 16 roads are not feasible. State submitted that DPRs of 25 bridge projects will be submitted within one week. **(Action Point: State Government)**
- ii. Average district-wise cost trends were discussed and it was seen that the cost specifications in most of the districts were quite high. Committee observed that the

- State should relook into the traffic volumes predicted on the proposed roads and accordingly propose suitable specifications. **(Action Point: State Government)**
- iii. State needs to certify that the proposed alignments are as per the road alignments approved by the MHA. **(Action Point: State Government) (Action Point: State Government)**
 - iv. Transect walk photographs not attached with DPRs. **(Action Point: State Government)**
 - v. Hydraulic details, catchment area calculation, hydraulic adequacy of proposed and existing CDs are missing in the DPR. **(Action Point: State Government)**
 - vi. Additional tack coat provision made over bituminous macadam surface should be deleted as per circular no. NRRDA-P014(11)/1/2018-JD(Tech) dated 23.03.2018, **(Action Point: State Government)**
 - vii. Length of Slab culvert, vented causeway, proposed bridge and box culvert portion needs to be deducted in the pavement portion to avoid duplication of quantities. **(Action Point: State Government)**
 - viii. The five year routine maintenance costs and 6th year renewal costs proposed by the State were agreed to by the Committee.
 - ix. The State has not proposed any roads using new technology materials. The Committee directed the State to propose roads using waste plastic. The Committee also observed that use of waste plastic would result in Bitumen savings and thus lower the costs more. **(Action Point: State Government)**

(B) Status of completion and contract management of RCPLWE works:-

- i. It was observed that out of 105 works (616 kms) sanctioned only 4 works (166 kms) have been completed so far. State was asked to expedite completion of works given the completion date of March, 2020 for RCPLWE projects. Only one bridge work of RCPLWE is pending sanction which was appreciated by the Committee. **(Action Point: State Government)**
- ii. Perusal of month-wise target achievement showed that against cumulative target of 500 Kms till August. The State has achieved only 160 Kms. Committee expressed its displeasure regarding the slow progress of works and asked the state government to increase the pace of works. **(Action Point: State Government)**

(C) Maintenance Issues:-

- i. It was seen that the State was not only defaulting in the credit of maintenance fund to SRRDA account but SRRDA was also not utilizing whatever fund was available. As a result, very consistent unsatisfactory quality grading in maintenance works of above 30% was observed in the past 5 years. State officials were told that in the absence of maintenance of PMGSY by the State PMGSY-III works would not be sanctioned to the State. **(Action Point: State Government)**
- ii. As per OMMAS, there are several data gaps with regard to maintenance and State was asked to upload the missing data. **(Action Point: State Government)**
- iii. State officials confirmed that process of user registration was almost complete. State was asked to verify the 995 packages which were pending verifications. State was also asked to upload 2101 works with missing agreements in OMMAS. **(Action Point: State Government)**

(D) **Quality Issues:-**

- i. Out of 31 award packages labs were not established in 17 packages. State was asked to comply urgently. **(Action Point: State Government)**

Decision was taken by the Pre-Empowered Committee that the state should to make necessary rectifications in the traffic volume predicted and consequently specifications proposed.

The meeting ended with Thanks to the Chair.