#### P-17024/11(i)/2017-RC (FMS-358261)

Government of India Ministry of Rural Development Department of Rural Development

Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi Dated the 29<sup>th</sup> August 2017

Minutes of the Pre-Empowered Committee to consider project proposal of RCPLWE for the year 2017-18 in the State of Jharkhand under Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY)- regarding.

The undersigned is directed to enclose herewith the copy of the Meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee held under the chairmanship of Joint Secretary (RC), on 28th August, 2017 to discuss the project proposals of RCPLWE, 2017-18 in the State of Jharkhand for necessary action.

State Government is requested that the compliance report of the observations of Pre-EC may please be furnished to the Ministry at an early date to consider convening an early Empowered Committee Meeting.

Encl: As above

(Priya Ranjan) Director/(RC) TeleNo. 011-23382860

#### Distribution:

- 1. The Secretary, RWD-cum-Chief Executive Officer, JSRRDA, 2nd Floor, F.F.P. Building, Dhurwa, Ranchi-834004, Jharkhand. — 10 9 5 77 | 17
- 2. The Chief Engineer, Jharkhand State Rural Development Agency (JSRRDA), Rural Development Department, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi. -109577 17-1

Copy to:

All Director of NRRDA, NewDelhi. - [285147 | 17 +64]

H. PPS to JS(RC)

issued enelling

# Minutes of the Meeting of Pre-EC held on 28.08.2017 for the proposals of the State of Jharkhand

A Meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee for PMGSY was held under the Chairmanship of Joint Secretary (RC) in his Chamber on 28.08.2017 at 03:30pm to discuss the proposals from the State Govt. of Jharkhand. The following officials were present in the meeting: -

Shri.Rajesh Bhushan	Joint Secretary(RC) & DG(NRRDA)			
Shri.Priya Ranjan Kumar	Director (RC)			
Dr. I. K. Pateriya	Dir (Tech), NRRDA			
Shri Mahesh Hiremath	Dir (P.I)			
Shri Bhim Prakash	Under Secretary, MoRD			
State Govt.representatives fr	om JSRRDA			
Shri. Avinash Kumar	Secretary, RWD, Jharkhand			
Shri.W.Q.Fardar	EE/FSD/Ds, JSRRDA			
Shri. Sudhir K Mani Tripathi	Nodal Officer, JSRRDA			
Shri Satyendra Kumar Sinha	SQC, JSRRDA			
Shri. Bijay Kant Singh	CE, JSRRDA			
Shri.Bibhuti Bhushan	A.O, JSRRDA			
Manish Keshri	IT-Nodal Officer, JSRRDA			

## 2.0 Proposal by the State

Director (Tech.) presented the proposals as uploaded by the State as under: -

Item	Proposals as per State's letter dated 27.08.2017			Proposals as per OMMAS as on 27.08.2017		
	Roads	Bridges	Total	Roads	Bridges	Total
Value in Rs. Crores	1,455.55	471.05	1,926.60	1,455.55	482.15	1,937.70*
No. of works	37	102	37 roads 102 LSBs	37	105	37 roads 105 LSBs
Length in Km/m	777.24	8,698.30 m	777.24 Km 8,698.30 m LSBs	777.24	9,005.90 m	777.24 Km 9,005.90 m LSBs
Average Cost in Lakhs / Km	187.27	5.42/m		187.27	5.47/m	

\*MoRDShare :Rs. 29.32 Crores

State Share : Rs. 36.60 Crores

As per Cabinet approval, the state of Jharkhand has been sanctioned 40 roads and 59 standalone bridges under RCPLWE. The state officials submitted that the present proposal of the state is for 37 roads and 105 bridges which are part of 37 road DPRs. Out of 59

ly

standalone bridges, the state would submit DPRs only for 55 bridges, since the remaining 4 bridges have already been constructed by the state, utilizing other resources. There are two agencies which are implementing PMGSY in the state of Jharkhand i.e, Rural Works Department and Roads Construction Department. While RWD would construct 16 roads, 22 roads would be constructed by RCD respectively. RCD is yet to submit proposal for one road whereas the RDD department has proposed construction of 16 roads out of 18 roads as 2 roads have already been constructed in the state from the state funds. Majority of the roads are of 5.5 mts carriageway width

#### 2. General and DPR issues of the proposals of road-works:

- Proposal has not been received as per NRRDA letter No. H-11020/2/05-Tech dated 10.01.2013.
- Sample DPRs have been received on 25.08.2017 only and NRRDA has not been able to scrutinize the DPRs.
- Average cost/Km is on higher side when compared to previous sanctions.
- 31 roads proposed with 5.50 m carriageway width, 5 roads proposed with 3.75 m carriageway width and 1 road proposed with 3.50 m carriageway width.
- 10 roads designed with IRC 37, 4 roads designed with T9, 4 roads designed with T8, 13 roads designed with T7 and 6 roads designed with T6 traffic category.
- Roads proposed with T8 and above traffic category, details of third party traffic verification against the provisions of IRC guidelines (Clause 3.4.1 (iv)) guidelines needs to be provided.
- Average provision of CC pavement is high. Length of CC pavement in some district is more than 20%. CC pavement length may be reviewed as per guidelines or the entire length may be proposed with Panelled Cement concrete/Cell Filled concrete.
- Images have not been uploaded on OMMAS for 30 roads.
- Proforma C has not been uploaded on OMMAS for 31 roads.
- Joint Inspection report of STA/CE/SE for bridge site as per format prescribed by NRRDA has not been provided.

The state officials were advised to rectify and issues raised in connection with the DPRs and submit the compliance at the earliest.

#### 3. Maintenance issues

• Maintenance cost (5 Year) of 2.51 % of the total cost has been proposed by the state which is not as per the norms of PMGSy and was not afgreed to. The state officials were advised to propose the costs as per PMGSY norms and also include a provision of 6th year renewal coat in the maintenance schedule, which was agreed upon.

ly

## 4. R&D proposal&R&D Technology:

 There were some issues with the OMMAS entry of the R&D proposal as it was showing roads proposed to be constructed with Barium Carbide waste. The state officials were advised to rectify and update the information on OMMAs so that it can be appraised by NRRDA for consideration of the Executive Committee.

### 5. Miscellaneous issues

- It was agreed that the State would upload all necessary details on OMMAS and send
  the hard copies of all the DPRs to NRRDA and also convert the entire length of CC
  pavement to PCC or Cell Filled Cement Concrete pavement.
- Further the state also agreed to propose road construction using new technology as per the norms of PMGSY.
- It was decided that once the DPRs are examined by NRRDA and the related compliances are submitted by the state government as per Ministry's satisfaction, a date will be indicated for the Inter Ministerial the Empowered Committee meeting.

The meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.

\*\*\*\*