

No. P-17024/17/2017-RC (FMS No. 357726)

Government of India  
Ministry of Rural Development  
Department of Rural Development  
Rural connectivity Division

Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi  
Dated the 7<sup>th</sup> February, 2018

**Subject:- Minutes of the Pre-Empowered Committee Meeting held on 5<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 to discuss the project proposals submitted by Government of Meghalaya under PMGSY (Batch-II, 2017-18)-reg.**

The undersigned is directed to enclose herewith a copy of minutes of the Pre-Empowered Committee held on 5<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 under the Chairpersonship of Joint Secretary (RC), MoRD to discuss the project proposals submitted by Government Meghalaya under PMGSY (Batch-II, 2017-18) for information and necessary action.

Encl. as above

*M M G M*

(Lalit Kumar)

Under Secretary to the Government of India

Tele: 011- 2338 6378

**Distribution:**

1. The Secretary, Public Works Department (R&B) cum CEO, SRRDA, Lower Lachumiere, Shillong-793001 (Email: [paulmarwein@yahoo.co.in](mailto:paulmarwein@yahoo.co.in)). — 122988/18
2. All Directors in NRRDA, 15, NBCC Tower, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-77 — 325370/18 to 2

Copy for information to:

1. PPS to JS (RC) — (3)

*issued with  
Encl.  
7/2/18*

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PRE-EMPOWERED COMMITTEE HELD ON 5<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 TO CONSIDER PROJECT PROPOSALS ( BATCH-II, 2017-18) SUBMITTED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF MEGHALAYA UNDER PRADHAN MANTRI GRAM SADAK YOJANA (PMGSY)**

A Meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee was held under the Chairpersonship of Joint Secretary (RC), Ministry of Rural Development on 5<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 to discuss the proposals (Batch-II, 2017-18) submitted by the State of Meghalaya. List of participants is given below:-

Smt. Alka Upadhyaya	Joint Secretary (RC), MoRD
Shri P. Manoj Kumar	Director (RC), MoRD
Dr. I.K. Pateriya	Director (Tech.), NRRDA
Shri Uttam Kumar	Director (P.III), NRRDA
Smt. Shanti Priya	Director (F&A), NRRDA
<b>State Govt. Representatives</b>	
Shri P.R. Marwain	Secretary PWD (R&B), Meghalaya
Shri J.V.Lyngdoh	SQC, SRRDA, Meghalaya
Shri M.B. Robin	ITNO, SRRDA, Meghalaya
Shri K. Nongrum	SRRDA, Meghalaya

2. Details of proposals are as under:-

Item	New Connectivity		Upgradation	LSBs	Total
	Complete Construction	Stage-II			
<b>Value in Rs. crores</b>	171.50	52.42	4.49	33.25	261.66*
<b>No. of Road works</b>	34	18	3	21	55 roads 21 LSBs
<b>Length in Km/m</b>	143.38	79.29	7.18	519.14	229.85 Km roads 519.14 m LSBs
<b>Average Cost per Km/m (Lakhs)</b>	119.61	66.11	62.53	6.40/m	
<b>Habitations benefitted</b>	40 Habitations in 250-499 category.				

\* MoRD Share- Rs. 234.81 Crores, State Share- Rs. 26.85 Crores

### 3. Habitation Connectivity Status

Item	1000+	500+	250+	Total
Eligible unconnected habitations as per cabinet approval	9	150	634	793
Eligible unconnected habitations as per OMMAS as on 04.02.2018	9	150	597	756
Balance unconnected habitations reconciled by the State	2	12	167	181
Balance unconnected habitations as per OMMAS as on 04.02.2018	1	7	175	183
Proposed in current batch as per OMMAS	0	0	40	40
Balance unconnected habitations to be sanctioned	1	7	135	143*
Not feasible reported by State as per OMMAS	2	12	18	32

\* The State has balance 143 unconnected habitations, out of which, 32 are not feasible. Thus, the balance unconnected habitation after present batch of proposal will be 111. The State was asked to submit the proposals for the balance habitations on priority. The State representative informed that the State is engaging a Consultant to prepare DPRs and the work would start immediately.

### 4. DPR/General Issues

- The DPRs have been prepared by the State without including GST. The State is required to revise the DPR taking GST into account.
- Proposal has not been received alongwith MP-I, MP-II, MP-III and copy of SLSC approval. The same are required to be provided by the State.
- Average cost in some districts is on higher side when compared to previous sanction.
- 3 roads proposed less than 1.50 Kms in Stage II and Up-gradation category.
- Earthwork quantities seem to be on higher side. Very high box cutting is involved in number of stretches. Top width of road should be 6 m for link road. Earth work quantities needs to be rationalised.
- Rates and quantities provided for restoration of rain cuts in sub grade of Stage II works is very high. Quantity and rate needs to be verified.

- The credit for hard rock in excavation has not been incorporated in many of the DPRs. Hard rock obtained from the cutting should be used for protective/drain works.
- LS provisions for quality monitors made in the DPRs needs to be deleted.
- Width of GSB should be 3.30 m for 3.00 m carriageway roads.
- Pavements have not been designed as IRC SP:72-2015.
- Design of Pucca side drain is not as per IRC SP:20-2002 and cost is on higher side. Requirement of drain should be verified as per site conditions and needs to be rationalised.
- Retaining walls and breast wall should be in dry masonry or with horizontal and vertical bands in cement mortars. Gabion walls should be proposed wherever possible.
- Large number of protection work/CD works is proposed for Stage II works. State should certify that no CD/Protection structures were sanctioned at these locations with Stage I proposal and all CDs/Protective works sanctioned in Stage - I project have been constructed. Necessity of CDs/Protection works need to be justified.
- Joint Inspection report of STA/CE/SE for bridge site as per format prescribed by NRRDA has not been provided.
- The States is required to take immediate steps for completion of the old works in a time-bound manner.

**5. R&D Proposals**

<b>Technology</b>	<b>Roads</b>	<b>Length in Km</b>
Cold Mix	50	215.51
Cell filled concrete	1	2.80
Total	51	218.31

- No road has been proposed by the State with Cement Stabilized Sub base/base. The State was advised to use this technology to speed up the execution.
- State has not proposed 5% of total length of roads using new materials/Technology accredited by IRC as per New Technology Initiatives guidelines.

**6. Quality Issue**

- Number of SQM is not adequate. The State was advised to increase the same.
- The State is required to take immediate steps to liquidate the ATRs, which are more than 6 months' period.

**7. Recommendation of the Committee**

After due deliberations, it will decide that the State will complete following activities as agreed to by the State before the EC meeting:-

- i. Point-wise compliance on the technical observations of NRRDA as in para-4 &5 above should be submitted to NRRDA;
- ii. The State should re-scrutinize the DPRs in the districts where average cost is high;
- iii. The State Should take immediate action to liquidate the ATRs;

8. The meeting ended with Vote of Thanks to and from the Chair.

\*\*\*