

File No. P-17024/16/2018-RC (Part) (EFMS: 363996)

Government of India
Ministry of Rural Development
Department of Rural Development
Rural Connectivity (RC) Division

Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi-110001

Dated: 9th October, 2019

Subject: Minutes of the Pre-Empowered Committee Meeting to discuss the project proposals of the State of Manipur under Pradhna Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana-II (PMGSY-II) (Batch-I, 2019-20) -regarding.

The undersigned is directed to enclose herewith a copy of minutes of the meeting of Empowered Committee held on 4th October, 2019 under the Chairpersonship of Additional Secretary (RD) and DG (NRIDA) to discuss the proposals submitted by the State of Manipur under Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana-II (PMGSY-II) (Batch-I, 2019-20).

2. It is requested that a compliance report on all the observations of the Committee may be sent to the Ministry/NRIDA at the earliest.

Arun Kumar

(Arun Kumar)

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India
Tel. 011 2307 0978

Distribution:

- i. The Principal Secretary (RD &PR)-cum-CEO, Manipur State Rural Roads Development Agency (MSRRDA), Government of Manipur, Room No-314, New Building, Manipur Secretariat, North Block, Imphal-795001, Manipur - 174964/19
- ii. The Chief Engineer, Manipur State Rural Roads Development Agency (MSRRDA), Government of Manipur. - 174964/19-1
- iii. All Directors in National Rural Infrastructure Development Agency (NRIDA), 15 NBCC Tower, 5th Floor, Bhikaji Cama Palace, New Delhi-110066. - 484931/19 to (4)

Copy to:-

PS to Secretary (RD)/ PPS to AS & FA/PPS to AS (RD)/PPS to JS (RC) - (2) to (8)

Assured
9/10/19

**Minutes of the Meeting of Pre-Empowered Committee held on 4th October, 2019
for the proposals of the State of Manipur under PMGSY-II, Batch-I (2019-20)**

A meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee for PMGSY was held on 04.10.2019 in the chamber of Additional Secretary (RD) & DG (NRIDA) to discuss the proposals under PMGSY-II, Batch-I, 2019-20. The following officials were present in the meeting:-

Smt. Alka Upadhyaya	Additional Secretary (RD) & DG (NRIDA)
Shri K. M. Singh	Deputy Secretary (RC)
Shri Uttam Kumar	Director (P-III), NRIDA
Shri B. Pradhan	Consultant (Tech.), NRIDA
Shri D. A. Kaul	Director (F&A), NRIDA
Shri P Mohan Sundaram	Joint Director, NRIDA
State Government representatives	
Shri M. H. Khan	Additional Chief Secretary & CEO
Shri Th. Nandkishore Singh	CE RED/MSRRDA
Shri Th. Dheinyachandra	Additional CE/SQC
Shri Ingoba Singh	SE-I
Shri Kh. Rajin Singh	SE (T)
Shri N. Bose Singh	ITNO

2. Details of the proposal submitted by the State are as under:

As per Pre EC submission dated 11.09.2019					As per States compliance as on 03.10.2019			
Item	No	Length in Km	Cost (Rs in Crores)	Avg. Cost/km (Lakhs)	Nos	Length (in km)	Cost (Rs in Crores)	Avg. Cost/km (Lakhs)
Up-Gradation roads	63	368.17	371.25	100.84	56	328.00	293.46	89.47
Bridges (Bailey bridges & LSBs)	1	33.54	2.10	6.26	1	33.54	2.10	6.26
Total	63 roads 1 bridge	368.17 Km roads 33.54 m Bridge	373.35		56 roads 1 bridge	328.00 Km roads 33.54 m Bridge	295.56*	
*MoRD share : Rs. 266.00 Crores					State share : Rs. 29.56 Crores			
Target : 325					Sanctioned : Nil			

A. General Issues:

- State needs to curtail the road length as per their entitlement.
- State should certify that the existing PMGSY roads which fall within the design life of 10 years have not been included in the current proposal.
- State needs to provide a soft copy of approved BLRRPs, DRRPs, Candidate road list, CUCPL, MP-I, MP-II & MP-III and Mandatory certificates duly signed by the competent authority.

- State has reduced Rs. 11.37 Lakhs/Km after verification of DPRs at NRIDA. State should ensure that all the DPRs are corrected based on the observations of NRIDA.
- State should certify that these proposed works have not been sanctioned by DoNER/other state schemes.
- Average cost/Km of roads seem to be on higher side. State should reduce the cost as per the discussion in the meeting.
- Joint Inspection formats for bridge works (STA and SE or SE and CE) needs to be submitted and uploaded on OMMAS.
- It was also discussed that the tenders should be decided on the basis of model SBD and follow provisions therein for awarding works to the successful bidder.

B. DPR issues:

- Transect walk photographs, Transect walk summary and copy of Gram Sabha approval have not been attached with the DPRs.
- Rate of earthwork for embankment, sub grade and shoulders seems to be on higher side (Rs. 480/Cum to Rs. 500/Cum). Needs to be verified as per Standard Data Book.
- State has proposed 3 roads with 5.50 m carriageway width. State needs to ensure that the required land width is available to provide 9 m top width as per IRC guidelines.
- State has proposed 3 roads with T8 traffic category. 3rd party traffic verification as per IRC guidelines needs to be provided by the State.
- Authenticated quarry chart indicating quarries for different construction materials and their distances and chaingaes at junctions not attached with the DPRs.
- Design for Horizontal curves, vertical curves, Super elevation and their drawings with suitable scale for each location is not attached with the DPRs.
- The design of CDs, hydraulic calculations, catchment area data and their supporting documents are not attached with the DPRs.
- Majority of the culverts proposed with RCC Slab culvert. State needs to explore the possibility of providing Pipe culverts.
- Images and Proforma C have not been uploaded fully on OMMAS.
- **State has provided 190 km lead for 3 roads. State should verify that this is the shortest distance of quarry from that road.**
- **State has used manual rates for providing surface drains. State should use machinery rates instead of manual rates wherever possible.**

C. Maintenance Issue:

- Maintenance cost is slightly less . it should be minimum 18%.
- There is huge variation between the State figures and figures available on OMMAS relating to use of maintenance fund . State needs to reconcile both the figure urgently.

D. Month wise Target :

- Pace of progress for construction of road work as well as habitations connectivity status are not satisfactory. State should try to achieve the target.

E. Quality issue:

- More SQM need to be appointed for carrying out pending inspection work before state come for EC meeting.
- Unsatisfactory work grading has increased in almost all type of work. State should make all out efforts to minimize it.

G. Finance and Accounts:

- Commercial tax as well as Sales tax liabilities have increased . State should try to settle the issue.

H. Final decision:

- Subject to the observation of the pre-EC and the concurrent action / compliance by the State as stipulated in the foregoing paras, the pre EC recommended the above proposal.
- The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair.
