


No. P-17024 /14(1)/2017-RC (356694)
Government of India
Ministry of Rural Development
Department of Rural Development
(RC Division)

Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi
Dated the 20th July, 2017

Subject: Minutes of the Pre-Empowered Committee Meeting held on 20th July, 2017 (Thursday) at 11.30 AM to discuss the project proposals of State Government of Madhya Pradesh under PMGSY-I (Batch-II), 2017-18 and PMGSY-II ADB (Batch-I), 2017-18 -reg.

A copy of the Minutes of the Pre-Empowered Committee meeting held on 20th July, 2017 (Thursday) at 11.30 AM under the Chairmanship of Joint Secretary (RC), in his Chamber, Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi to discuss the project proposals of State Government of Madhya Pradesh under PMGSY-I (Batch-II), 2017-18 and PMGSY-II -ADB (Batch-I), 2017-18 is forwarded herewith for information and necessary action.


(Dr. Surabhi Rai)
Deputy Secretary (RC)

Distribution:

1. Shri Radhey Shayam Julaniya, Additional Chief Secretary, Panchayat & Rural Development Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Bhopal- 462005.
2. Shri Nitesh Vyas, Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Madhya Pradesh Rural Road & Housing Authority, Block-2, Floor-5, Paryavas Bhavan, Jail Road, Bhopal- 462005.
3. Shri M. K. Gupta, Chief Engineer (PMGSY), Panchayat & Rural Development Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Bhopal- 462005.

Copy for information to:-

PPS to JS (RC)/Director (RC-SR)/ All Director(s), NRRDA, New Delhi

15/11/17
20/7/17

— 0 All fms No.
last page

Minutes of the Meeting of Pre-EC held on 20.07.2017 for the proposals of the State of Madhya Pradesh under PMGSY, Batch-II (2017-18) and PMGSY-II (ADB) Batch I (2017-18)

A Meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee for PMGSY was held under the Chairmanship of Joint Secretary (RC) in his Chamber on 20.07.2017 at 11.30 am to discuss the proposals from the State Govt. of Madhya Pradesh under Regular PMGSY, Batch-II (2017-18) and PMGSY-II (ADB) Batch I (2017-18). The following officials were present in the meeting: -

Shri.Rajesh Bhushan	Joint Secretary(RC) & DG(NRRDA)
Dr.Surabhi Rai	Deputy Secretary(RC)
Dr. I. K. Pateriya	Dir (Tech), NRRDA
Shri Basavaraja Raja	Dir(P-I), NRRDA
Shri Mahesh Hiremath	Dir (P.I)
Smt. Shantipriya	Dir(Finance)
Shri Praveen Kumar	DD (F&A)
Shri Bhim Prakash	Under Secretary, MoRD
State Govt. representatives from MPRRDA	
Shri. Nitesh Vyas	CEO,MPRRDA
Shri.M.K.Gupta	EIC, MPRRDA
Shri. D.K.Pachauri	CGM,MPRRDA
Shri.J.S.Sikawar	SQC,MPRRDA
Shri. Dinesh Suhane	CGM(Finance), MPRRDA
Shri.Govind Panetoli	Manager-IT,MPRRDA

2.0 Proposal by the State

Director (Tech.) presented the proposals as uploaded by the State as under: -

Details of PMGSY -I								
As per State's letter dated 10.07.2017					As per OMMAS as on 19.07.2017			
Item	No of Roads	Length (in km)	Cost (Rs in Crores)	Avg. Cost/km (Lakhs)	Nos	Length (in km)	Cost (Rs in Crores)	Avg. Cost/km (Lakhs)
New Connectivity	10	35.96	21.00	58.40	10	35.96	21.64	60.18
Bridges	27	2,902.40 m	108.37	3.73 Lakhs/m	22	2419.18	87.83	3.63 Lakhs/m
Total	10 Roads 27 Bridges	35.96 Km roads 2902.40 m Bridge	129.37		10 Roads 22 Bridges	35.96 Km 2419.18 m Bridge	109.47*	

*MoRD share : Rs. 40.05 Crores

State share : Rs. 69.42 Crores

The JS(RC) directed the state that the 5 number of bridges not uploaded on OMMAS should either be sent as a separate proposal with specific reasons for not incorporating in the DPR of the roads or such reasons should be furnished before the EC meeting.

Category wise benefitted habitations

1000+	500-900	250-499	100-249	Total
1	9	1	-	11

Details of PMGSY-II ADB								
Item	As per State's letter dated 19.07.2017				As per OMMAS as on 19.07.2017			
	Nos	Length in Kms	Cost (Rs. in Crores)	Avg. Cost/km (Lakhs)	Nos	Length in Kms	Cost (Rs. in Crores)	Avg. Cost/km (Lakhs)
Roads	163	2069.00	1400.42	67.68	141	1,823.58	1230.01	67.45
LSBs	25	873 m	21.27	2.44/m	8	258.00	5.30	2.05
Total	163 roads 25 Bridges	2,069 Km roads 873.00 m bridges	1421.69		141 roads 8 Bridges	1,823.58 Km roads 258.00 m bridges	1,235.31*	

*MoRD Share : Rs. 735.20 Crores

State Share : Rs. 500.11 Crores

Target : 4,945 Kms

Proposed : 1823.58 Kms

- The State informed that they would be uploading the entire length of roads on OMMAS within one week.
- In the case of bridges provision of minor bridges was earlier included in DPR, which led to an increase in the average per km cost of the roads. As per

PMGSY guidelines, such bridges should be proposed as a separate DPR since length of CD is more than 15m. State agreed to do the same and upload on OMMAS.

2. General and DPR issues of the proposals of road-works:

- Average cost as proposed in the case of PMGSY-I bridges was seen to be substantially higher than those proposed in PMGSY-II. The state submitted that the bridges were more than 60 meters and hence the cost differential.
- It was noted that the average cost in PMGSY-II was higher compared to other states. The state responded that the cost was due to the higher cost of utility shifting which would be borne by the state as per the guidelines.
- It was observed that PCU per day calculated on the basis of traffic does not justify the provision of 5.50 m carriage way width (**Package No. MP14602, MP1807**). The Director (Technical) observed that the traffic seems to be overestimated in most of the DPRs. The State has been asked to conduct a third party traffic survey and submit the report.
- It was also brought out that the photographs provided in the sample DPRs (02 verified) showed that the surface of the road seems to be in good condition and adequate width is available in almost all the areas (**Package No. MP24601, MP07606**). The State was asked to take a report from the PIUs in this respect.
- The State was asked to reconcile number of eligible habitations on OMMAS which the state stated would be done shortly.

3. DPR issues:

- Some of the issues highlighted were that the soil test results not authenticated by any officer, issues related to drawings and proposal of GSB below CC drains in some cases which the state agreed to look into.
- It was seen that CC pavement has been proposed in open area also and invariably provided in all roads and the length of CC pavement in some roads is more than 30%. The state was advised to review CC pavement length as per

guidelines or propose the entire length with Panelled Cement concrete/Cell Filled concrete.

- 18 roads have been designed with traffic more than 2 msa and out of 18 roads, 5 roads proposed with 3.75 m carriageway width, which seems contradictory. The state responded that the existence of mining traffic in these areas result in higher loads in such roads. The State was asked to look into the location of such blocks and verify the same.
- A case was brought out where in up-gradation work, 225 mm WMM has been proposed with 50 mm Bituminous Macadam which is against the para 2.2.3 of IRC SP:72-2015. (**Package No. MP38PM203**). The state said that a third party axel load survey report would be submitted to justify the same.
- State was asked to look into districts with proposed CC roads of more than 15% of total proposed length and convert the same to Cell filled or Panelled CC pavement for economy in construction and proposing requisite length under new technology .
- It was seen that no road has been proposed with Cement Treated sub-base/Bases or any other stabilization techniques i.e RB-81. Hence the state was asked to ensure that all the 10 roads in PMGSY-I should include same stabilization technique.

4.0 Maintenance issues

- The State was asked to increase the maintenance cost of PMGSY-I as decided in the EC earlier to at least 6.50%.
- It was noted that the expenditure on maintenance was only 57% in the previous year and was only 1% despite a quarter of the financial year having been over. The state responded that the figures were not reflected due to the PIUs uploading the data on Emarg. The JS(RC) proposed that a web service should be given to MPRRDA by either NIC or CDAC for porting that data but in the interim period the PIUs should be instructed to enter the data on both EMarg and OMMAS

5.0 R&D proposal&R&D Technology:

- The state has proposed 95 roads of 291.92 km in PMGSY-II under R&D proposals; 89 roads of 290.82 km using waste plastics and 6 roads of 1.10 km using RCCP. State was asked to remove the 6 roads of 1.10 km using RCCP and instead convert them to panelled cement concrete or cell filled cement concrete.
- It was seen that only 15.95% of R& D roads with respect to total length has been proposed under Technology with IRC Specification (Main streaming of Technology) – 10% while State has not proposed roads leading to 5% of total road length using new materials/Technology Accredited by IRC as per New Technology Initiative guidelines. The State was agreeable to include the 5% using new materials/Technology Accredited by IRC as per New Technology Initiative guidelines using panelled cement concrete or RBI-81.

PENDING WORKS

- It was communicated to the states that the issue of roads pending completion for more than 4 years, is being considered on a very serious note. The JS(RC) advised the state to hold a separate meeting of PIUs having such pending works and close the pending works on a *as is where is* basis before the Empowered Committee meeting; the state agreed to the above.

6.0 Quality issues:

- It was observed that 842 works were not even inspected once by SQMs and in case of 402 works payments have already been made. The State responded that these would be completed in 3 months time.
- The issue of pending ATRs, contractors not inspected and non establishment of field labs were also brought out and the state asked to look into these issues.
- The State's efforts in reducing the level of unsatisfactory works and the improvement in the unsatisfactory work grading was appreciated. However the state was asked to look into the issue of deficiencies in CD works and poor workmanship.

7.0 Finance and accounts issues:

Dy. Director (F&A) brought out the finance & accounts issues of the State. The State agreed to address these issues and send compliance status at the earliest.

- It was appreciated that the entries for Programme Fund and Administrative Fund had been completed upto June in OMMAS (R&P Module). For Maintenance Fund the account has not yet been closed. The State assured that the same would be done shortly.
- As on 19/07/2017 out of 16,256 physically completed works, financial completion of 14.37% works are still pending. The State assured that these would be completed shortly

8.0 Misc issues:

- State was asked to send fresh UC for first installment of programme fund by 25th July.
- The date for Empowered Committee meeting was tentatively fixed on the 7th of August and the state asked to send its compliance in time to NRRDA for scrutiny.

The meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.

S.No	NAME	FMS NO.	
1	ADDL. CHIEF SECRETARY	105997/17	
2	CEO	105997/17 -1	
3	CHIEF ENGG.	105997/17 -1	
1	PPS TO JS -RC	274578/17	
2	DIR - TECH	274578/17 -1	
3	DIR - (P-I)	274578/17 -2	
4	DIR - (P-II)	274578/17 -3	
5	DIR - (P-III)	274578/17 -4	
6	DIR - F&A	274578/17 -5	