

File No. P-17024/14/2017-RC (353802)  
Government of India  
Ministry of Rural Development  
Department of Rural Development  
(RC Division)

Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi  
Dated the 29<sup>th</sup> November, 2017

**Sub: Minutes of Pre-Empowered Committee Meeting to discuss the project proposals of Madhya Pradesh under Regular PMGSY-I regular PMGSY Batch-IV (2017-18) and PMGSY-II-ADB, Batch-II(2017-18)-reg.**

A copy of the Minutes of the Meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee held on 24<sup>th</sup> November, 2017 at Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh to discuss the project proposals under Regular PMGSY-I regular PMGSY Batch-IV (2017-18) and PMGSY-II-ADB, Batch-II(2017-18) is forwarded herewith for necessary action.

*Surabhi Rai*  
(Dr. Surabhi Rai)  
Deputy Secretary(RC)

**Distribution:**

1. Shri Radhey Shayam Julaniya, Addl. Chief Secretary & Development Commissioner, Panchayat & Rural Development Department, Govt. of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462005. - 116797/17
2. Shri Nitesh Vyas, Chief Executive Officer, MPRRDA, 2<sup>nd</sup> Wing, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Paryavas Bhawan, Bhopal. - 116797/17-1
3. Shri M.K Gupta, Chief Engineer (PMGSY), Panchayat & Rural Development Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Bhopal- 462005. - 116797/17-2

**Copy for information to:-**

PPS to JS(RC)/All Director, NRRDA, New Delhi.

306724/17

(i) to 3)

*Issued*  
29/11/17

**Minutes of the Meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee on 24.11.2017 for Regular PMGSY-I, Batch IV (2017-18) and PMGSY -II, ADB, Batch II (2017-18) of Madhya Pradesh**

A Meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee for PMGSY was held on 24.11.2017 at Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh to discuss the proposals under Regular PMGSY-I Regular PMGSY Batch-IV(2017-18) and PMGSY-II-ADB, Batch-II (2017-18). The following officials were present in the meeting: -

Smt. Alka Upadhyay	Joint Secretary(RC) & DG(NRRDA)
Dr. Surabhi Rai	Deputy Secretary(RC)
Dr. I. K. Pateriya	Dir (Tech), NRRDA
Smt. Shantipriya	Dir (Finance)
Shri. Uttam Kumar	Dir(P-III)
Shri Praveen Kumar	DD (F&A)
<b>State Govt. representatives from MPRRDA</b>	
Shri. Nitesh Vyas	CEO, MPRRDA
Shri. M.K. Gupta	EIC, MPRRDA
Shri. D.K. Pachauri	CGM, MPRRDA
Shri. J.S. Sikawar	SQC, MPRRDA
Shri. Dinesh Suhane	CGM(Finance), MPRRDA
Shri. Govind Panetoli	Manager-IT, MPRRDA

**2. Proposal by the State Regular PMGSY-I, Batch IV (2017-18)**

Item	As per State's letter dated 20.11.2017				As per OMMAS as on 23.11.2017			
	No of Roads	Length (in km)	Cost (Rs in Crores)	Avg. Cost/km (Lakhs)	Nos	Length (in km)	Cost (Rs in Crores)	Avg. Cost /km (Lakhs)
New Connectivity	12	50.85	28.22	55.50	11	44.25	24.29	54.89
Bridges	1	46.20 m	0.61	1.32 Lakhs/m	1**	46.20	0.61	1.32 Lakhs/m
<b>Total</b>	12 Roads 1 Bridges	50.85 Km roads 46.20 m Bridge	28.83		11 Roads 1 Bridges	44.25 Km 46.20 m Bridge	24.90*	

\*MoRD share :Rs. 14.48 Crores  
Crores

State share :Rs. 10.42

**\*\*Bridge proposal entered in Batch III of 2017-18 as the road was considered by EC held on 13.11.2017.**

**Category wise benefitted Habitations**

1000+	500-900	250-499	100-249	Total
0	9	2	-	11

**PMGSY –II,ADB, Batch II (2017-18)**

Item	As per State's letter dated 20.11.2017				As per OMMAS as on 23.11.2017			
	Nos	Length in Kms	Cost (Rs. in Crores)	Avg. Cost/km (Lakhs)	Nos	Length in Kms	Cost (Rs. in Crores)	Avg. Cost/km (Lakhs)
Roads	204	2,840.87	1,879.54	66.16	204	2,843.52	1,882.95	66.22
LSBs	126	4102.97 m	129.38	3.15/m	121	3,767.97	119.48	3.17
Total	204 roads 126 Bridges	2,840.87 Km 4,102.97 m bridges	2,008.92		204 roads 121 Bridges	2,843.52 Km 3,767.97 m bridges	2,002.43*	

\*MoRD Share : Rs. 1,126.78 Crores      State Share : Rs. 875.65 Crores  
 Target : 4,945 Kms      Sanctioned : 2,156.21 Kms

State has proposed 54.73 Kms over and above their target. The proposal need to be curtailed as per their entitlement.

**3. Number of Eligible unconnected habitations**

The State reported that no balance habitations are left to be sanctioned. The State was asked to reconcile all habitation details (sanctioned, dropped, connected by state, non feasible) on OMMAS by the 30<sup>th</sup> of November.

**4. General and DPR Issues:**

- Mandatory certificates for PMGSY-II, MP-1, MP-II and MP-III are to be submitted by the State.
- Due to the late submission of sample DPRs NRRDA was not able to scrutinise the DPRs. It was communicated to the state that NRRDA would be communicating more compliances(if any) to the state after detailed scrutiny of the DPRs.
- The issue of the higher average cost per Km of PMGSY –II roads were flagged. The State responded that certain districts had higher costs due to the prevalence of forested areas and black cotton soil in those districts.

- It was observed that the traffic was overestimated in most of the DPRs. The State was advised that for computation of design traffic, clause 3.4.1 computation of design traffic for up-gradation of existing road of SP:72-2015 should be referred.
- State was asked to provide third party traffic verification against the provisions of IRC guidelines (Clause 3.4.1 (iv)) and para 7.6 (ii) of PMGSY-II guidelines.
- It was noted that 9 Roads proposed with 5.50 m and Pavements designed with more than 2 MSA needs to be converted as MDR by the State as per PMGSY guidelines.
- A suggestion was made to the state to change the provision of OGPC to surface dressing for road having low traffic upto T5 category as per IRC SP: 72-2015 (Cl 7.3.3) wherever possible as this could lead to a saving of about Rs. 2.00 Lakhs to Rs.2.50 Lakhs/Km.
- It was observed that the average provision for CC pavement was very high. The state was advised to verify the districts in which more than 15% of total proposed length has been proposed as CC. The CC length may be reduced accordingly or converted to Cell filled or Panelled CC pavement for economy in construction and proposing requisite length under new technology.
- Pro rata cost beyond bridge length of 75 m should be borne by the State and additional State share should be uploaded on OMMAS.
- Joint Inspection report of STA/CE/SE for bridge site as per format prescribed by NRRDA should be provided before sanctioning of this batch.

#### **5. Maintenance issues**

Maintenance cost proposed for both PMGSY-I and PMGSY-II were seen to be on the lower side. The state was asked to increase it to 6-6.5% in view of providing hard shoulders.

The meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.

\*\*\*\*\*