No-P.17024/26/2018-RC (FMS-362886)

Government of India

Ministry of Rural Development

Department of Rural Development

Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi Dated the 17th July, 2018

Sub: Pre-Empowered Committee Meeting to discuss the project proposals of State of Uttar Pradesh under PMGSY-I and PMGSY-II (Batch-I, 2018-19) -reg.

A copy of the Minutes of the Pre-Empowered Committee Meeting held on 13th July, 2018 at 11:00 AM to discuss the project proposals of the State of Uttar Pradesh for PMGSY-I and PMGSY-II (Batch-I, 2018-19) is forwarded herewith for information and necessary action.

m (m gm

(Lalit Kumar) Under Secretary to the Govt. of India Tel. No. 23386378

Distribution:

- 1. The Principal Secretary, Department of Rural Development, Government of Uttar Pradesh, 1st Floor, Bappu Bhawan, Schivalaya, Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow-226001.
- 2. The Chief Engineer, Department of Rural Development, Government of Uttar Pradesh, 1st Floor, Bappu Bhawan, Sachivalaya, Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow- 226001.
- 3. All Directors in NRIDA 368802/18 +024

Copy to:-

PPS to JS(RC) -(3)



Minutes of the Meeting of Pre-Empowered Committee held on 13.07.2018 for the proposals of State of Uttar Pradesh under Regular PMGSY-I &II-Batch-I (2018-19)

A Meeting of the Pre- Empowered Committee for PMGSY was held under the Chairmanship of Joint Secretary (RC) in her Chamber on 13th July, 2018 at 11.00 AM to discuss the project proposals from the State Govt. of Uttar Pradesh under Regular PMGSY-I & II Batch-I (2018-19). The following officers were present in the meeting:

| Ms. Alka Upadhayaya | Joint Secretary(RC), MoRD & DG NRRDA | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Dr. Surabhi Rai | Deputy Secretary(RC) | |
| Shri Uttam Kumar | Director (P-III), NRIDA | |
| Shri. P. Mohansundaram | Joint Director (Tech), NRIDA | |
| Shri Parveen Bhalla | Joint Director (F&A), NRIDA | |
| State G | ovt. Representatives and Others | |
| Shri. Avdesh Tiwari | CEO, UPRRDA | |
| Shri. Ansari | | |
| Shri. G.P.Panday | Chief Engineer, UPRRDA | |
| Shri. G.P.Panday | Chief Engineer, UPKRDA | |

. Proposal by the State

The current proposal (Batch-I) submitted before the Pre Empowered Committee is as given below:

| Item | As per State's email dated 12.07.2018 | As per OMMAS as on 12.07.2018** |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Value in Rs. Crores | 441.08 | 456.47 |
| No. of roads | 90 | 93 |
| Length in Km | 654.83 | 682.08 |
| Average Cost in Lakhs / Km | 67.36 | 66.92 |

^{*} MoRD Share (60%): Rs. 210 Cr.

State Share (40%): Rs. 231.08 Cr.

Since the Ministry had issued approval for proposing 652.27 Kms at a cost of Rs. 210.01 Crores under PMGSY in place of dropped roads vide Ministry's letter dated 24.04.2018, the state share will be restricted to 210 crores only and the rest will have to be borne by the state.

(PMGSY-II) Batch I:-

| Item | As per State's email dated 12.07.2018 | As per OMMAS as on 12.07.2018** |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Value in Rs. Crores | 237.16 | 253.15* |
| No. of roads | 34 | 35 |
| Length in Km | 284.56 | 297.01 |
| Average Cost in Lakhs / Km | 83.34 | 85.23 |

^{*} MoRD Share (60%): Rs. 27.196 Cr.

Target: 7575 Km

State Share (40%): Rs. 225.954 Cr.

Sanctioned

: 7504.96 Km

Ministry had issued approval for proposing 128.73 Kms at a cost of Rs. 67.99 Crores in place of dropped roads and balance length of 70.04 kms under PMGSY-II vide Ministry's letter dated 24.04.2018 so the state share will be restricted to 27.196 crores only and the rest will have to be borne by the state. The state has a target of 7575 km and 7504 km has already been sanctioned. The state responded that 13 roads are being dropped and the balance length will be adjusted against the dropped length.

Issues discussed:

| Issues | Directions of Pre Empowered |
|---|---|
| | Committee |
| DPR and General Issues | |
| MP-I, MP-II, MP-III and copy of SLSC approval not | Copies of the same need to be submitted by |
| submitted. | the state |
| Average cost/km is much higher when compared to | The State was asked to look into issues |
| previous sanctions. | discussed below which contributed to higher |
| | average costs and rationalize and justify the |
| | same. The committee was of the view that |
| | the costs were just too high. |
| States were asked to make the SORs inclusive of the | NRIDA was asked to issue a circular to all |
| GST rates. | states to do the same. |
| Traffic seems to be overestimated in most of the DPRs. | The State has been directed to submit the |
| Third party traffic verification against the provisions of | traffic verification data and. |
| IRC guidelines (Clause 3.4.1 (iv) and para 7.6 (ii) of | |
| PMGSY-II guidelines have not been done | |
| The following anomalies in the DPRs were pointed out | The state has been asked to relook into the |
| during the discussions. | DPRs and reconsider the issues as pointed |
| 225 mm WMM has been proposed with 50 mm | out. |
| Bituminous Macadam which is against the para 2.2.3 of | · |
| IRC SP:72-2015. Equivalent thickness of BM ie 75 mm | |
| should be deducted from the WMM layer thickness of | · |
| 225 mm. | |
| For rigid pavement panelled cement concrete pavement | |
| may be proposed in order to achieve economy in | |
| construction. | |
| For up-gradation in Abadi portion base thickness of | |
| two/three layer of WBM proposed below interlocking | |
| block/cement concrete pavement is not justified, needs correction. It should be 100 mmm thickness (UP2656 & | |
| UP0184). | |
| Rate of edge block is already included in rate analysis of | , |
| interlocking pavement, however again edge block cost | |
| included in DPR, needs correction(UP66128). Shoulders | 1 |
| proposed with Inter Locking Concrete Pavement (ICBP) | |
| Earthen shoulders should be proposed or the difference | |
| in cost should come from the State (UP63133) | |
| Protection works not justified with reference to L/S and | |
| C/S drawings. Cost of protective works is on higher side | |
| (UP5072). | |

| The old existing culverts which appear to be in good | |
|---|---|
| condition have been replaced by the new Slab Culverts not fully justified. | |
| Rate of WBM II is higher than WBM III in some | As the cost difference of Rs. 300 was too high the state was asked to reduce the cost by |
| districts. WBM II layer may be replaced with WBM III in order to achieve economy in construction. | replacing the WBM II layer with a WBM III layer. |
| Drainage length provided in the DPRs does not match with the photographs provided in the sample DPRs. Pucca drain provided in open areas needs to be deleted (UP7509, UP0184 & UP2656). | State was asked to make provisions for earthen drains in open areas. |
| The details of sanction and completion of works was not yet updated in OMMAS | NRIDA was asked to conduct a drive in the states for one to one mapping of roads on OMMAS. |
| R&D DPRs needs to be verified at NRRDA at least on sample basis for each of the technologies. | State said that the same had been done. State was asked to upload in OMMAS |
| Maintenance Is | |
| State has not made provision for Periodic renewal at 6 th year. | The State was asked to make provisions for the 6 th year renewal cost with a 5 year guarantee period based on the Madhya Pradesh experience. NRIDA was also asked to issue a circular |
| | to all states to make a provision for the same. |
| Quality Issue | es |
| The following issues regarding Quality were a point of concern | |
| 209 contractor's (41.55%) works were not at all inspected by the NQMs. Out of these 22 contractors had more than 22 works in hand. The High % of Unsatisfactory grading in maintenance even after adequate expenditure on maintenance was a striking matter of concern. | The State was advised that since most of the quality issues arose mainly due to non maintenance of signboards, off carriage way and kilometer stones, these should be taken care of. |
| Financial and Accou | |
| Outstanding advances details (Advance to Contractor: 9.78; Misc. works Advance: 1.51; DPR Preparation- 10.54; Administrative expenses recoverable from the state government: 1.12 & Other Misc. Advance: 0.02) {5.92 crore recovered from contractor & 0.75 recovered from SG}-16.30 Opening Balance difference between cash Book and Balance Sheet under Administrative Expenses Fund | |
| Account. (BRS Point) - 2.66 crores Pending Stale Cheques {Prog.:3.70; Admin: 2.44} (Cleared: Prog: 1.36; Admin:2.37) - 2.41 crores Un-reconciled balance standing in Audited Balance | |

Fund transferred to PIUs: (-)0.13)-1.82 crores • Amount Credited by Bank but not entered in Cash Book {Prog.:1.22; Admin: 3.20} (Cleared: Prog: 0.11; Admin:2.92) -1.39 crores Amount Debited by Bank but not entered in Cash Book {Prog:0.89; Admin: 3.10} (Cleared: Prog: 0.41; Admin:2.81)-0.77 crores Imperest to Staff-0.38 crores

343 bills of works finally closed were pending as on date. Out of 343 physically completed works, 14 works are bills by 30th July as also told in the RRM. beyond 6 months.

State was asked to liquidate the pending NRIDA was asked to conduct an FC's conference every three month starting the 1st of August to follow up on the audit related issues of the states.

The Pre EC for the next batch was fixed on 20th of July and the EC for the present batch was fixed on the 30th of July.

The meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.