## File No-P.17024/28(3)/2018-RC (FMS-364173)

Government of India
Ministry of Rural Development
Department of Rural Development
Rural Connectivity (RC) Division

Room No.361-B Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi Dated the pth October, 2018

### **MINUTES**

Sub: Minutes of Pre-Empowered Committee to discuss project proposals of the State of Uttarakhand under regular PMGSY (Batch-III, 2018-19)

The undersigned is directed to enclose herewith the Minutes of the Meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee held on 10<sup>th</sup> October, 2018 at 12:30 PM under the Chairpersonship of Joint Secretary (RC), in her chamber, (Room No 249), Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi to discuss the project proposals submitted by the State of Uttarakhand under PMGSY (Batch-III, 2018-19).

2. You are request to furnish the compliance on the observations of Pre-EC to NRIDA/MoRD at the earliest in order to schedule the Empowered Committee meeting.

(Surabhi Rai)
Deputy Secretary (RC)
Tel. No.011-23383006

#### Distribution:

- The Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Uttarakhand, -1460 59 18
- The Chief Engineer, Rural Development Department, Government of Uttarakhand, -14 60 59 18-1 Dehradun.
- 3. All Directors, NRIDA. 391585 18 +0 (2)

### Copy for information to:-

PPS to Secretary (RD)/PPS to SS&FA/PPS to JS(RC) \_ (3) +0 (5)

0,13,000/2,10/18.

# Minutes of the Meeting of Pre-Empowered Committee held on 08.10.2018 for the proposals of the State of Uttarakhand under PMGSY, Batch III (2018-19)

A Meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee for PMGSY was held on 08.10.2018 in chamber of Joint Secretary(RC) to discuss the proposals under Regular PMGSY Batch-III (2018-19) The following officials were present in the meeting: -

Smt. Alka Upadhyaya	Joint Secretary (RC) & DG (NRIDA)			
Dr. Surabhi Rai	Deputy Secretary (RC)			
Sh. P. Mohansundraam	Joint Dir (Tech), NRIDA			
Shri K.K. Bisht	DD (F&A)			
Shri Rakesh Kumar	DD (P-III)			
State Govt. representatives				
Sh. K.K. Srivastava	Chief Engineer, URRDA			
Sh. S.K. Pathak	SQC URRDA			
Sh. M.K. Mittal	EE, URRDA			
Sh. Arvind Singh Jyala	ITNO, URRDA			

### 2. Proposal by the State:

	Proposals as per letter dated 05.10.2018						Proposals as per email dated 07.10.2018				
Item		Full st age			Total	Stag e I	Full st age	Stage II		Total	
Value in Rs. Cror es		158.11	820.32	29.09	1278.23	270.71	158.11	820.32	29.09	1,278.23*	
No. of w	73	31	163	18	267 road s 18 Bridg es	73	31	163	18	267 roads 18 Bridge s	
Length in Km	449.53	220.50	1,470.88	492.00	2,140.91 Km road s 492.00m LSB	449.53	220.50	1,470.88	492.00	2,140.91 Km roads 492.00m LSB	
Average Cost in Lakhs / Km	60.22	71.70	55.77	5.91		60.22	71.70	55.77	5.91		

\*MoRD share: Rs.1150.4 Crores

State share: Rs.127.82 Crores

### Category wise benefitted Habitations:

1000+	500-999	250-499	Total		
4	30	71 + 7 clusters of 250+	105 + 7 Clusters		

The State informed that this is the last batch of proposals and only 14 non feasible proposals are remaining now.

### 3. Issues Discussed and Decisions taken

- i. Copy of SLSC approval, MP-I, MP-II and MP-III needs to be provided by the State without which sanctions cannot be given to any proposal.
- ii. Average cost per Km is on higher side particularly in Bageshwar, Nainital, PauriGarhwal, Pithoragarh, Rudraprayag and Tehri districts.
- Ruling Gradient has been adopted for the entire stretch of the road. The State may explore the possibility of providing acceptable gradients (Limiting and Exceptional) wherever possible as per expert committee recommendations in order to economise the cost of construction.
- iv. Joint Inspection formats for bridge works have not been received. Hydraulic data has not been ataached. Bridge DPRs need to be verified at NRIDA on sample basis.
- v. In one of DPR, 4 Nos Culverts and Minor bridges (6m, 10m, 10m, & 12m) has been proposed, but hydraulic data for the same are not found attached.
- vi. The following requirements are not attached with the DPRs-Clear colored photographs at every 100m intervals, Transect walk Photographs and Minutes of Transect walk and Land availability certificate.
- vii. Credit for hard rock is not given in the DPR. It should be taken in to account and reuse for protective works/CD works.
- viii. Levels are not indicated in the attached X section drawing, only height has been marked required for cutting. Hence earthwork quantity calculation and provision of protection work are not justified. Attached drawing needs revision.
  - ix. Gabion wall protection work may be proposed in order to achieve economy in construction.
  - x. Number of CDs proposed on higher side (e.g. UT02105, for 2 km road 26 nos. of CD works proposed at cost of Rs. 60.46 Lakh) The CD provisions are found to be on higher side for both stage I and complete construction works. Scuppers/HPC culverts have been proposed in an average 6 nos to 7 Nos/Km. In absences of Levels in X section drawings; the required height of abutment/protective works cannot be verified. Also, linear water way calculations and hydraulic particulars showing MWL, HFL, BL etc are also not attached in DPRs.
  - xi. Provision of protection works including retaining wall, breast wall, toe wall etc. for both stage I, Stage II and complete construction works are found to be on higher side. This provision of protection work is also not justified in X section drawings. Further, cost of protection works included in DPRs are also needs to be indicated on OMMAS.
- xii. Cost of slip clearance and Cost of Turfing, Seeding & Mulching, bio engineering work and utility shifting charges should come from State share.
- xiii. By considering the advancement of PMGSY I programme completion from 2022 to 2019, State may propose road with Complete Construction instead of Stage I construction.
- xiv. The proposals are not scrutinized by STA on OMMAS.

As a world Bank State Uttarakhand was asked to propose more roads under cold mix xv. technology.

Concern was raised regarding the large number of unawarded works and the State was

advised to speed up the process.

xvi.

72.72% U grading in maintenance was another point of great concern. xvii.

State was asked to clear all the issues regarding the audit of 2016-17. xviii.

74 completed works are pending financial closure for more than 6 months. State was asked xix. to liquidate the same from State funds.

State was asked regarding the compliance of EC held on 10th September for Batch II, 2018xx.

19. State committed to send the compliance by 20th October 2018.

The meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.