No.P-17024/26/2013-RC (332858)
Government of India
Ministry of Rural Development
Department of Rural Development
(RC Division)

Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi Dated 13<sup>th</sup> May, 2016

Subject:-Minutes of Pre-Empowered Committee Meeting to discuss the project proposals of State Government of Uttar Pradesh under PMGSY-II, (Batch-II) during 2016-17 on 10<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 at 03.30 PM –forwarding for.

A copy of the Minutes of the Pre-Empowered Committee Meeting held on **on 10<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 at 03.30 PM** under the Chairmanship of Joint Secretary (RC) in his Chamber, Ministry of Rural Development, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi to discuss the project proposals of the State Government of Uttar Pradesh under PMGSY-II, (Batch-II) during 2016-17 is forwarded herewith for information and necessary action.

(Bhim Prakash)

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India

Telefax: 011-23382406

#### Distribution:-

- The Principal Secretary, UPRRDA, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Ganna Kisan Sansthan, New Berri Road, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh
- 2. Shri R. B. K. Rakesh, Chief Engineer, Uttar Pradesh State Rural Roads Development Agency (UPRRDA), 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Ganna Kisan Sansthan, New Berri Road, Lucknow, Government of Uttar Pradesh
- 3. Shri S. V. S. Rangarao, Chief Executive Officer, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Ganna Kisan Sansthan, New Berri Road, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh.

#### Copy to:-

PPS to Secretary (RD)/ PPS to AS&FA/PPS to AS(RD)/ PPS to JS (RC)/ Director (RC-PR)/ All Director(s), NRRDA

# Minutes of the Meeting of Pre- EC held on 10<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 at 03.30 PM for the proposals of the State of Uttar Pradesh under PMGSY-II, 2016-17 (Batch-I)

### State: Uttar Pradesh

A Meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee for PMGSY was held under the Chairmanship of Joint Secretary (RC) in his Chamber on 10<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 at 3.30 PM to discuss the proposals from the State of Uttar Pradesh for upgradation of roads in the State, under PMGSY-II, 2016-17 (Batch-I). The following were present in the meeting:-

Joint Secretary, Min of Rural Development				
Director (RC), MoRD				
Director (Tech), NRRDA				
Director (P.I)				
Director (P-III), NRRDA				
Director (P-II), NRRDA				
Director (F&A)				
Under Secretary, MoRD				
State Govt Representatives from UPRRDA				
CEO, UPRRDA				
CEO, UPRRDA				
SQC, UPRRDA				
Ex.Engg(Tech.), UPRRDA				

## 2.0 Proposal by the State

2.1 Director (Tech.) presented the proposals sent by the State under PMGSY II(Batch I) for the year 2016-17 are as under:-

Item	As per State's letter	As per OMMAS
Value in Rs. Crores	668.16	501.83
No. of works	125 roads 1 Bridges	97 roads
Length in Km	1032.55 18 m bridge	812.19
Average Cost in Lakhs / Km	64.62	61.79

125 roads + 1 bridge entered but not yet scrutinized by STA Bridge proposal needs to be entered on OMMAS separately.

2.2 JS(RC) directed to the State Govt. to upload all proposals (as per the States' letter), on OMMAS before EC meeting to be held and also mentioned that the proposals must be scrutinized by the STA, otherwise they would not be considered by the EC.

#### 3.0 DPR Issues

- Measures for achieving economy in construction as suggested in NRRDA's recent report have not been adopted.
- Proposal has not been received as per NRRDA letter No. H-11020/2/05-Tech dated 10.01.2013.
   Mandatory certificates for PMGSY-II are not received from the State as per the advisory lr No. P-17011/2/2013-Tech dated 01.11.2013.
- Hard copies of revised DRRP (data & Map), candidate road list with primary calculation and CUCPL have not been received from the State for verification at NRRDA. The proposed roads are not as per priority based on utility value. Prioritised Candidate road list and CUCPL for none of the districts has been made available for verification. The State needs to provide hard

copies of district wise DRRP formats and map, candidate road list, utility value calculation and CUPL to NRRDA.

- Current year SoR has not been vetted at NRRDA.
- Sample DPRs yet to be received from State for scrutiny at NRRDA.
- The credit for the existing crust has not been taken into account while designing proposed pavement design.
- Minimum sub-grade CBR of 5% as per IRC SP:72-2015 has not been maintained.
- · AADT has been indicated as 108 in all roads in Sultanpur district and in other cases
- 3 layers of WBM has been proposed in many cases. Third party traffic verification against the provisions of IRC guidelines has not been done.
- ESAL of some roads are less than 60,000, which do not qualify as Through road.
- The old existing culverts which appear to be in good condition have been replaced by the new Slab Culverts not fully justified.
- 8 roads designed with T7 traffic category and 9 roads designed based on IRC 37. Traffic survey data reports from third party indicating traffic requirement of 5.50 m carriageway have not been provided.
- Bridge should be uploaded on OMMAS separately. Joint Inspection formats for bridge work has not been received.
- Average cost of 14 road works are more than 100 Lakhs/Km. Needs to be scrutinised.
- State needs to propose 10% of total length in Mainstreaming technology as per new technology initiatives guidelines.
- Images uploaded on OMMAS for 18 works only. Balance works needs to be uploaded.
- Proforma C uploaded on OMMAS for 37 works only. Balance works needs to be uploaded.
- 3.1 State Government agreed to send the proposals as per the advisory dated 01.11.2013. The State has also agreed to modify the minimum sub-grade CBR of 5% as per IRC SP: 72-2015.
- 3.2 In so far as average cost trends of PMGSY-II roads is concerned, Director (Tech.) stated that out of 125 roads proposed, 14 roads with a carriage way width of 5.50 m have been proposed, whereas all other roads are proposed with a carriage way width of 3.50 mt/ 3.75 mt. Hence the average cost per km is on the higher side and the State must rectify this..

#### 4.0 Details of proposal on OMMAS

Item	Number of proposals in current Batch -I	Uploaded on OMMS	STA scrutinized
Roads Batch -I	125 Roads +1 Bridge	97	97

- State's proposal is not yet fully scrutinized on OMMAS.
- 10 nos of proposals entered under World Bank (RRP-II). All proposals needs to be uploaded under Regular PMGSY Batch – I.
- As per recent directions from Ministry, proposals scrutinized by STA on the date of Pre EC will only be considered by EC for recommendation.
- 4.1 JS (RC) directed to the State to upload the proposals of roads & bridges on OMMAS speedily.

#### 5.0 Maintenance

5.1 Director (Tech.) informed that 5<sup>th</sup> / 6<sup>th</sup> year renewal cost proposed by the State is on lower side.

#### 6.0 R & D Proposal and Technology

- 6.1 The State has proposed 18 roads of length 128.35 km under R & D with New Technology. Director (Tech.) has pointed out the details are not matching with hard copy data sent by the State to NRRDA. The State must rectify and reconcile these differences.
- 6.2 Director (Tech.) has stated that all DPRs should be scrutinized by STAs and 5% technology with IRC accreditations may be used and photographs may be uploaded.
- 6.3 JS (RC) suggested that the State can also consider using Fly ash as well as Cell filled Cement Concrete, for which NRRDA has issued "Do it Yourself" booklets to the States.

#### 7.0 Maintenance - Financial

7.1 JS (RC) and Director (Tech.) pointed out that the release of maintenance fund and its utilization is satisfactory.

#### 8.0 Quality issues

8.1 It has been pointed out that the position of inspection is not satisfactory in the State. It has been decided to increase the no. of SQMs. There are total 68 ATRs pending with the State. JS (RC) desired that the pending ATRs should be liquidated at the earliest and also asked the State to inspect the complaint in respect of Chanduali district.

#### 9.0 Finance & Account for F.Y 2014-15

- 9.1 Director (F&A) pointed out the various issues in respect of Finance & Accounts for the year 2014-15 of Uttar Pradesh as under:
  - Negative Bank Balance in Balance Sheet (Programme Fund)
  - Amount of Interest shown in Banker Certificate, Receipt & Payment A/c and Utilization Certificate differ both in Programme fund
  - Weaknesses in the Bank Reconciliation Statement
  - Amount deposited in Bank but not recorded in Cash Book
  - Amount debited by Bank but not entered in Cash Book
- 9.2 Director (F&A) asked the State Govt. to send report to NRRDA and bank guarantee may be monitored by the State. In so far as shortage of staff, JS (RC) advised that SRRDA may consider the option that the suitable staff may be outsourced for pending works.

(The meeting ended with thanks to the Chair)