File No.P-17024/8/2019-RC(369627) Government of India Ministry of Rural Development Department of Rural Development

Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi, Dated the 9th March, 2020.

Minutes

Subject:-

Minutes of the Meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee to consider project proposals for PMGSY-III Batch-I (2019-20 submitted by the State Government of Haryana.

The undersigned is directed to enclose herewith a copy of Minutes of the meeting of Pre-Empowered Committee held on 5th March, 2020 under the Chairpersonship of the Additional Secretary (RD) & DG (NRIDA) to discuss the proposals submitted by the State Government of Haryana under **PMGSY-II Batch-I (2019-20)**.

2. It is requested that a compliance report on all the observations of the Committee may be sent to this Ministry/NRIDA.

(A.A.S. Peekanth)
Under Secretary (RC)
Tel. No.011-23070978

Distribution:

- 1. Additional Chief Secretary, PWD(B&R), HaRRIDA, Room No.306, 3rd Floor, New Secretariat, Sector-17, Chandigarh-160017.
- 2. Secretary General-cum-Engineer-in Chief (Roads0, Nirman Sadan, 4th Floor, Plot No.1, Sector-33A, Chandigarh-160020.
- 3. Executive Director-cum-Chief Engineer, 3rd Floor, Nirman Sadan, Plot No.1, Sector 33A, Chandigarh-160020.

Copy for information to:-

PS to Secretary (RD)/PPS to AS &FA/PPS to AS(RD)/All Directors, NRIDA.

Minutes of the Pre-Empowered Committee Meeting held on 05.03.2020 for consideration of proposal of the State of Haryana under PMGSY-III, Batch I of 2019-20

A meeting of the Pre-Empowered Committee was held on 05.03.2020 at 03.30 PM under the Chairpersonship of Addl. Secretary, Department of Rural Development & DG (NRIDA) to consider the proposal of the State of Haryana for PMGSY-III, Batch I of 2019-20. Following officials were present in the meeting.

Smt. Alka Upadhya	Addl. Secretary & DG(NRIDA)
Ms. Mamta	Joint Director (RC)
Sh. B. C. Pradhan	Director (Technical), NRIDA
Dr. I.K. Pateriya	Director (P-II), NRIDA
Sh. Pradeep Aggarwal	Director (P-I) & P-III, NRIDA
Sh. Deepak Ashish Kaul	Director (F&A), NRIDA
Sh. Mohanasundaram	Joint Director (NRIDA)
Sh. Harsh Nisar	Data Scientist (NRIDA)
Sh. Pankaj	Senior Technical Officer, CDAC, NRIDA
State Govt. Representatives	
Sh. Arvind Kumar	Chief Engineer (PMGSY), Haryana
Sh. Varun Gupta	EE, PWD(B&R), Haryana
Sh Jatin Khurana	SDE (PMGSY), Haryana

2. Current Proposal of the State Govt. under PMGSY-III, Batch-I of 2019-20

A presentation on the proposal submitted by the State was made by NRIDA before the Pre-Empowered Committee. The details of the proposal are as under:-

As per Stat	e's pro	posal dat	ed 04.03	3.2020	As per	r OMMAS as	on 04.03	.2020
Item	Nos	Length (in km)	l .	Avg. Cost/km (Lakhs)	Nos	Length (in km)	1,	Avg. Cost/km (Lakhs)
Up- Gradation - Roads	62	514.00	260.39	50.66	59	451.26	256.97	56.94
Total	62	514.00	260.39	50.66	59	451.26	256.97*	56.94

*MoRD Share: Rs. 153.41 Crores State Share: Rs. 103.55 Crores

State Target for PMGSY III: 2,500 Km Sanctioned: Nil

58 roads of 441.56 km are 5.5 m carriageway width with average cost of Rs. 57.21 Lakhs/Km and 1 road of 9.7 km is 7 m carriageway width with average cost of Rs. 53.92 Lakhs/Km. Out of 62 proposals in current batch as state's report, 59 proposals uploaded on OMMAS and STA has scrutinised 56 roads of 419.78 kms for Rs. 231.78 crores with average cost of Rs. 55.21 Lakh/Km. The State was directed to get scrutinised all the pending proposals from the STAs within 3 days.

3. Planning Related Compliances

Equity	It was informed that the state is coming in a piece-meal manner as an when blocks are clearing the process. Action: The state should follow a tentative Block/District level target distribution to ensure equity in PMGSY-III.
Aspiration Districts	Mewat district wasn't covered under Batch I. The state is requested to ensure adequate coverage and target in the aspiration district and not limit to just widening but consolidation of the existing road network as well.
Inadequate Planning	The following Blocks have not followed the guidelines for planning with

and Prioritisation

regard to selecting continuous candidate roads and mapping of habitations in the 3 km area. The Blocks need to re-do the habitation mapping satisfactorily, regenerate CUCPL and ensure proposals are in accordance of priority. The SRRDA is also requested to study the remaining roads in the new CUCPL and submit in writing whether they'll be proposed or exclude them from their login. The SRRDA needs to ensure none of the blocks in the remaining batches have habitation mapping issues or the blocks will be dropped from the current batch in which they are being proposed to re-do their entire planning exercise. The SRRDA is requested to put in the adequate checks and balances and train their staff to ensure the same before finalizina candidate roads for

SI No	District	Block
1	Jhajjar	Sahlawas
2	Charkhi Dadri	Dadri-I
3 4	Sirsa	Baragudha & Dabwali
4	Rohtak	Rohtak
5	Yamuna Nagar	Chhachhrauli

Inadequate PCI Survey The PCI entries in the following Blocks are not sufficient. The * marked Blocks have updated on OMMAS almost every road to have PCI<3 which might not be true in a state like Haryana. The Blocks will have to redo their PCI survey as per actual and regenerate their CUCPL. The existing proposals will be deleted. Further, all proposals from these 6 blocks need to be part the report submitted by SQC to ensure eligibility of the roads as per PCI and DLP. The report should have adequate evidence self-contained and proposed roads if found to be ineligible shall be dropped.

District	Block
Jind	Narwana*
Yamuna Nagar	Chhachhrauli*
Jind	Alewa*
Jind	Safidon
Charkhi Dadri	Badhra
Sirsa	Dabwali

Individual Cases

Individual cases which need to be inspected:

District	Road	Remark	Action
Jind (Jind)	Jitgarh via Ahirka-Roopgarh	upgraded while the central surface might not require	SRRDA to ensure the treatment in the DPR is as per the road condition. The road to be submitted as part of the SQC report. DPR to be submitted to NRIDA before EC for scrutiny.
Jind (Julana)	T05-Julana to Malvi Road	upgraded	SRRDA to ensure the treatment in the DPR is as per the road condition.

Rohtak (Rohtak)	HR16-III-12	Road may not be eligible for the programme or the treatment	The road to be submitted to ht as part of the SQC report DPR to be submitted to NRIDA before EC for checking. SRRDA to ensure the treatment in the DPR is as per the road condition. The road to be submitted as part of the SQC report. DPR to be submitted to NRIDA before EC for
Rohtak (Meham)	T01-Meham Seikhpur Titri Bharan to madina to Nidana to Samargopalpur	being prescribed. Discontinuous Candidate Road	checking. As part of SQC report to ensure the road being proposed is actually continuous and linear in nature.
Jind (Narwana)	T01-NH-52(SDO Civil Complex Narwana) to Railway Station to NH-52	Road	As part of SQC report to ensure the road being proposed is actually continuous and linear in nature.
Jhajhar (Sahlwas)	T13-Bani Mandir Dhana to Judi via Dhani Salhawas		As part of SQC report to ensure the road being proposed is actually continuous and linear in nature.
Rohtak (Rohtak)	T07-Basantpur Dhamar Kiloi		As part of SQC report to ensure the road being proposed is actually continuous and linear in nature.

4. DPR Issues

- i. State needs to provide a copy of SLSC approval, MP-I, MP-II & MP-III and Mandatory certificates duly signed by the competent authority.
 - ii. Required numbers of photographs i.e at 100 m intervals are not attached with the DPRs.
- iii. Axle load test/3rd party traffic verification as per IRC guidelines needs to be provided by the State.
- iv. State needs to ensure that the required land width is available to provide 9 m top width as per IRC guidelines.
- v. Overlay thickness over existing BT layer should be proposed as per Clause 2.2.3 of IRC:SP:72:2015.

- vi. As the State has proposed BM of 50 mm thickness, the tack coat proposed on primed granular surface should be deleted as per DO letter no. NRRDA-PO14 (11)/1/2018-JD (Tech) dated 23.03.2018.
- vii. The test results for GSB materials not attached to the DPRs.
- viii. State proposed 5.5 m Carriage way width without any justification. As per photographs it clearly seen that there is no traffic in many of the roads and those roads do not qualify for intermediate lane as per PCU consideration.
 - ix. Road safety audit report not attached with the DPRs.
 - X. Road marking Edge line with hot-applied Thermoplastic compound should be proposed only in vulnerable portions such as sharp curves, approach to the narrow bridge, on pavements with width transitions, etc., and not for the entire length.
 - xi. Roads proposed with 7 m carriageway and more than 5 MSA, the pro-rata cost beyond 5.50 m carriageway and 5 MSA should come from the State share.

5. Status of Marketing Reforms

Out of 9 reforms, state has completed 6 reforms namely Declaring warehouse/cold storages as deemed market, Private market, Direct Marketing, E-trading, Single unified trading license, Singles point levy of market fee. State was advised to comply with rest of the reforms before sanctioning of the proposals.

6. Maintenance

Committee agreed to the State's proposal of Rs 2,129.49 lakh (8.28% of Construction Cost) for 5 years Routine Maintenance and Rs 4,502.09 lakh (17.51% of Construction Cost) for 6th year's renewal. State has to propose separate maintenance head for rural/PMGSY roads in the State Budget as pre- requisite of PMGSY III.

7. R&D technology

The state has proposed 365.36 Km (80.96%) using Waste Plastic under Technology with IRC Specification (Mainstreaming of Technology). State has not proposed any lengthusing IRC Accredited Materials/Technologies and was advised to propose adequate length i.e. at least 5 % of proposed length using IRC Accredited Materials/Technology as per New Technology Initiative guidelines.

8. Progress of PMGSY works

Roads

S.No	SCHEME	SA	NCTIONED	COMPLETED	
3.140	SCHEME I		LENGTH (Km)	Nos.	LENGTH (Km)
1	PMGSY I	426	4,572.101	426	4,565.224
2.	PMGSY-II	88	1,042.239	88	1,015.738

Bridges

S.No	SCHEME	SANCTION (NOs.)	COMPLETED (NOs.)
1	PMGSY I	0	0
2.	PMGSY-II	18	18

Habitation Coverage

Category	Eligible Habitations	Habitations Cleared	Habitations Connected	% of Habitations Connected w.r.t cleared
250+	1	1	1	100

Maintenance - Financial (As per Manual Report)

Year (s)	Amount required as	Amount Credited in	Amount	% Expenditure w.r.t.	on NO	nsatisfactory % based on NQM inspections (Under DLP)	
rear (s)	per Contract	account of SRRDA	Dy SRRDA	maintenance funds Required	Total Nos.	"U" Nos.	U %
2014-15	4.00	5.00	3.53	88%	5	- 0	0.00%
2015-16	4.00	5.31	2.06	52%	9	1	11.11%
2016-17	2.00	0.00	1.44	72%	0	0	0.00%
2017-18	5.00	7.76	2.91	58%	2	0	0.00%
2018-19	3.00	5.00	2.07	69%	5	0	0.00%
2019-20	3.00	5.32	5.45	100%	4	1	25.00%
Total:	21.00	28.39	17.46	83.14%	25	2	8.00%

9. Status of Schedule of Rates (SoR)

SoR for 2013-14 has been approved. For 2019-20, SoR Committee Meeting held on 4.3.2020. Rate of hire charges of T&P is on higher side. Observation is being sent to State for compliance.

10. e-MARG STATUS

Out of the 76 packages frozen by the State, only 16 have made Manual Entry of expenditure. Only a single payment was made as part of the training exercise and not other payment made thereafter. The SRRDA has to ensure payments are made in all districts with frozen packages that are getting proposals in the first batch by the time of Pre EC. It was clarified and the State accepted that there is no pendency or inconsistency and that payment can be made.

11. Quality Control

Unsatisfactory grading in the maintenance works is 6.67 %. 1 ATR against ongoing work is pending with state which needs to be submitted immediately.

12. Fund Position

TDS deducted under Programme Fund and Maintenance Fund is in contravention of the stipulated rule and needs to be resolved by the State. Rs 137.13 crore is balance fund available with SRRDA.

Meeting ended with Vote of Thanks to the Chair.